

# 中国船东互保协会 China Shipowners Mutual Assurance Association

地址:中国 北京市朝阳区五里桥一街一号院 12 号楼 邮编:100024 Add:Building No. 12, Courtyard No. 1, Wuliqiao No. 1 Street, Chaoyang District, Beijing, P. R. China. PC:100024 Tel:0086 10 59223500 Fax:010 59621611(Claims) 59621612(U/W)

Circular No. 1/2015/Claims

TO THE MEMBERS

15<sup>th</sup> Jan., 2015

## LIQUEFACTION RISKS FROM BAUXITE CARGOES

Dear Sirs,

The Association has been alerted to the risk of possible liquefaction of cargoes of bauxite that may originate from Malaysia and Indonesia. The recent loss of the "BULK JUPITER" whilst on a voyage from Kuantan, Malaysia to China fully laden with bauxite has again highlighted previous concerns raised regarding the carriage of bauxite, and particularly the potential for liquefaction. In the last month extremely heavy monsoon rain has been experienced in Malaysia, and stock piles of bauxite at ports such as Kuantan are very wet. Open storage conditions generally prevent the cargo from draining or drying sufficiently before being loaded, which may be one of the causes of the casualty. Members are advised to urgently consider this issue if they are fixed or are planning to fix a vessel for such a voyage.

# Is bauxite cargo at risk of liquefaction?

There has been some debate on this issue, not least because bauxite cargo may come with a particle constituency of varying sizes and dimensions which could see cargoes be a mixture of fine particles, smaller particles as well as lumps and rocks.

Under the IMSBC Code the cargo has been described as Category "C", neither prone to liquefaction (Category "A") nor possessing a chemical hazard (Category "B").

In practice, however, the Association has had experience of shipments of bauxite which appeared to show signs of liquefaction and indeed expert advice on the issue would suggest that depending on the composition of the cargo, it is possible for liquefaction to occur.

Particularly this may be the case where the cargo is pre-filtered to separate fine and large particles, water may be introduced in to the cargo in this process and/or the cargo has undergone some other form of partial processing /crushing.

Depending on the particular circumstances of any given shipment, it would appear that bauxite may come with the risk of liquefaction.

As such it may not be safe to assume that simply because a cargo has been declared by the shippers to be Category "C", that in fact it is.

## **IMSBC Code provisions**

Under the Code, bauxite is stated to be a Category "C" cargo, with the following cargo composition:

Size

70% to 90% lumps:

2.5 mm to 500 mm

10% to 30% powder

When the cargo consists of such a particle size and distribution - with over 2/3 majority of the cargo being in the form of lumps - the IMSBC Code would appear to state that the cargo is not prone to the risk of liquefaction. That may not be a safe assumption to make.

Further it should not be read that all bauxite is in fact Category "C", as actual composition and moisture content will impact the risk of liquefaction.

For instance, a reading of the Code may suggest that a cargo with 70% of particles ranging from 2.5 to 10 mm and 30% powdered cargo with particles sizes under 2.5 mm would not fall within Category "A" and would not come with a liquefaction risk.

The expert advice received is that such a cargo composition could, depending on moisture content, in fact display signs of being prone to liquefaction and thus act like a Category "A" cargo, i.e.: be prone to liquefy.

In this regard it needs to be kept in mind that the IMSBC states that any fine grain material containing moisture may potentially display flow characteristics and thus should be tested. To which see Appendix 3, Section 2.1 at Page 366 of the 2013 edition. To quote:

"2. Cargoes which may liquefy

2.1 Many fine-particled cargoes, if possessing a sufficiently high moisture content, are liable to flow.

Thus any damp or wet cargo containing a proportion of fine particles should be tested for flow characteristics prior to loading."

Where the cargo is of a different composition as stated in the Code, particularly with a greater amount of fine particles, then there should be no doubt, however, as to the potential risks and the likely situation that the cargo may in fact qualify as a Category "A" cargo.

### Practical cargo considerations

The Association understands that for some shipments cargo may be pre-filtered to take out particles and lumps above 100 mm in size. Furthermore larger particles may be subject to crushing to reduce the size of the particles.

The reason for this is that cargo receivers may have a preference to receive smaller particle cargo.

In itself that may not be an issue, assuming the cargo is sufficiently dry and in any event has a moisture content below the Transportable Moisture Limit (the "TML") which is of course a figure at 90% of the Flow Moisture Point (the "FMP").

These figures can be derived by taking samples and having them tested at a suitable laboratory (one which is equipped and experienced for this task).

It would appear, however, that cargoes may not only be subject to significant water introduction by way of rain fall, but that the process of filtering the cargo may involve the use of significant quantities of water under pressure.

If cargo is pre-filtered and or crushed to contain smaller particles, fines and powder as well as subjected to large intakes of water (be it by way of rainfall, high pressure hoses or other) then it would change the nature of the cargo as described in the IMSBC Code and thus give rise to the risk that it may liquefy.

In such circumstances, the cargo needs to be considered as a Category "A" cargo, i.e.: one which is prone to liquefy.

#### Red mud

A by-product of the processing of bauxite in to aluminium is so called "red mud".

Given the composition of bauxite, the red mud produced contains a lot of iron oxides (up to 60%) and thus becomes of potential interest as an iron source cargo in itself.

It is, however, a cargo that comes with two significant risks:

- 1. it is highly prone to liquefaction and may actually be produced in a liquid state.
- 2. the mud has a very alkaline level (as a consequence of the industrial "Bayer process") and thus is hazardous (PH up to 13) due to its caustic properties.

Members need to consider very carefully before loading such a cargo given that it may present significant risks to crew and vessel.

In particular it has been noted that such cargo has been shipped from Asian sources, but described as "iron oxide" or "iron ore" and declared as Category "C" in shipment documentation.

Such description and categorisation are not correct, and ships should not load cargoes without proper and correct cargo documentation being provided in advance.

#### Loss prevention advice

The Association has repeatedly warned about the risks of liquefaction that come with the carriage of bulk mineral ore cargoes.

This risk can arise from cargoes that may at present be described as Category "C" in the IMSBC, particularly where the cargo actually tendered for loading is of a different composition than that described in the IMSBC.

The advice to members must therefore be as follows:

- 1. just because a cargo is described as Category "C" in the IMSBC and in cargo documentation, does not mean the cargo actually tendered for loading is of such nature.
- 2. the Association has seen a number of cases where cargoes were mis-described including:
  - a. mis-description as to the particle size and composition of the cargo
  - **b.**mis-declaration of cargo as being Category "C", even though the IMSBC listed it as Category "A"
  - c.failure to provide moisture content information
  - d.failure to provide proper TML and / or FMP information
  - e.failure to follow the IMSBC with respect to sampling, testing and cargo certification in advance of loading
  - f.cargoes given different names to disguise their true nature
- 3. Members should carefully check in advance where their cargo will be loaded and the description of the cargo as declared.

- 4. Members should ask for clear and full cargo declaration descriptions to be incorporated into the fixture.
- 5. Charterparties should contain suitable liquefaction risk clauses, which address issues that include:
  - a.apportionment of risk of liability, time, cost and other delay factors
  - b.use of surveys and arrangements for testing
  - c.liberties to refuse loading unsafe cargoes and to take action to protect a vessel experiencing a risk scenario
- 6. Masters and chief officers to be instructed to refuse to load cargo that is not properly documented and / or which appears to come with a visible issue or have an issue ascertained by can testing.
- 7. Masters and crew should be educated as to the possible risks and carefully monitor loading, being instructed to refuse to load any cargo that appears to have an issue, it is important to watch out for:
  - a. obvious signs of accumulated water on barges and cargo stockpiles
  - b.splatter of cargo in the holds during loading
  - c.failed can tests
  - d.free water accumulation on top of cargo in the holds
- 8. Members are encouraged to contact the Association in advance of loading so that suitable arrangements can be made for surveying and other assistance as may be required.

The Association has issued more than 10 Circulars on safe carriage of cargoes which may liquefy in recent years to provide detailed guidance and recommendations to Members in loss prevention, legal and contract aspects. The Association published the Pocket Guide to carrying solid bulk cargoes safely in co-operation with SKULD. Members are recommended to carefully read the relevant Circulars and the Pocket Guide, and strictly follow the requirements for carriage of cargo prone to liquefy. Should members have a specific query, please contact the Managers for further information.

Yours Faithfully

China Shipowners Mutual Assurance Association