ANNUAL REPORT ON PORT STATE CONTROL IN THE ASIA-PACIFIC REGION

2022



This work is protected by copyright. It is permitted to be reproduced in whole or a part subject to the inclusion of an acknowledgement of the source, but not for commercial use nor sale.

Further information may be obtained from:

The Tokyo MOU Secretariat Ascend Shimbashi 8F 6-19-19 Shimbashi Minato-ku, Tokyo Japan 105-0004 Tel: +81-3-3433-0621 Fax: +81-3-3433-0624 This Report is also available at Tokyo MOU website (https://www.tokyo-mou.org) on the Internet.

FOREWORD

We are pleased to present the Annual Report on Port State Control in the Asia-Pacific Region 2022.

In 2022, although the COVID-19 pandemic persisted, the impacts and restrictions became less stringent in the majority areas around the world. In line with other regions, the activities of the Tokyo MOU have further recovered along with the relaxation of restrictions.

In order to reduce the impacts to the shipping industry due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the Tokyo MOU adopted and implemented measures for facilitating port State Authorities to apply pragmatic flexibility during PSC inspections. Although the shipping industry as a whole continued to carry out their responsibilities and obligations in the normal manner as required, there were still a number of ships, their companies, flag States and/or Recognized Organizations (ROs) that took the pandemic circumstance as an excuse and loophole for compromising their obligations to comply with the relevant and applicable international maritime standards and requirements.

Concerns have been raised by a number of the Tokyo MOU Authorities that there are increasing ship incidents due to lack of effective maintenance of main engines and power generation systems including poor implementation of planned maintenance. This situation poses serious risks to safety of ships and the marine environment. In response, the Tokyo MOU Authorities have increased focus on planned maintenance during inspections. It is noted that, as the result, there is a significant increase of ISM detentions and RO responsible detentions. Tokyo MOU would like to bring the matter to the attention of the industry to increase awareness and to reinforce the circle of responsibility so as to improve the situation.

This Annual Report provides the overview of port State control activities and developments in the Tokyo MOU in 2022. The report also includes port State control statistics and analysis on the results of inspections carried out by member Authorities during the year.

Finally, we would like to extend our sincere thanks to the Port State Control Committee, member Authorities and all PSC officers for the devoted efforts made, the valuable cooperation rendered and the respectable work done, which demonstrate the strong potential and enduring driver for the development and achievement of the Tokyo MOU.



W. Grans

Kenny Crawford Chair Port State Control Committee



bol

Kubota Hideo Secretary Tokyo MOU Secretariat

CONTENTS

page

| OVERVIEW | |
|---|---|
| General introduction | 1 |
| Review of year 2022 | 2 |
| The Port State Control Committee | 3 |
| Technical Working Group (TWG) | 5 |
| The Asia-Pacific Computerized Information System (APCIS) | 6 |
| Training and seminars for port State control officers | 6 |
| Co-operation with other regional port State control regimes | 8 |

PORT STATE CONTROL UNDER THE TOKYO MOU, 2022

| Inspections | 10 |
|--|----|
| Detentions | 11 |
| Deficiencies | 12 |
| Deficiency photo of the year | 12 |
| Overview of port State control results 2012-2022 | 12 |
| ANNEX 1 STATUS OF THE RELEVANT INSTRUMENTS | 20 |
| ANNEX 2 PORT STATE INSPECTION STATISTICS | 23 |
| Statistics for 2022 | 23 |
| Summary of port State inspection data 2020-2022 | 34 |
| ANNEX 3 ORGANIZATION STRUCTURE OF THE TOKYO MOU | 52 |
| Explanatory Note on the Black-Grey-White Lists | 53 |

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

| | | page |
|-----------|---|------|
| Figure 1 | Inspection percentage | 14 |
| Figure 2 | Inspection per ship risk profile | 14 |
| Figure 3 | Port State inspections - contribution by Authorities | 15 |
| Figure 4 | Type of ship inspected | 15 |
| Figure 5 | Detentions per flag | 16 |
| Figure 6 | Detention per ship type | 16 |
| Figure 7 | Deficiencies by main categories | 17 |
| Figure 8 | Most frequent detainable deficiencies | 17 |
| Figure 9 | No. of inspections | 18 |
| Figure 10 | Inspection percentage | 18 |
| Figure 11 | No. of inspections with deficiencies | 18 |
| Figure 12 | No. of deficiencies | 19 |
| Figure 13 | No. of detentions | 19 |
| Figure 14 | Detention percentage | 19 |
| Figure 15 | Comparison of inspections per ship type | 40 |
| Figure 16 | Comparison of detentions per ship type | 40 |
| Figure 17 | Comparison of inspections with deficiencies per ship type | 42 |
| Figure 18 | Comparison of number of deficiencies by main categories | 47 |
| Figure 19 | Comparison of most frequent detainable deficiencies | 49 |
| Table 1 | Status of the relevant instruments | 20 |
| Table 1a | Status of MARPOL 73/78 | 22 |
| Table 2 | Port State inspections carried out by Authorities | 23 |
| Table 2a | Port State inspections on maritime security | 24 |
| Table 2b | Port State inspections by categories (physical & remote) | 25 |
| Table 3 | Port State inspections per ship risk profile | 26 |
| Table 4 | Port State inspections per flag | 27 |
| Table 5 | Port State inspections per ship type | 30 |
| Table 6 | Port State inspections per recognized organization | 31 |
| Table 7 | Deficiencies by categories | 33 |
| Table 8 | Black – Grey – White Lists | 34 |
| Table 9 | Inspections and detentions per flag | 36 |
| Table 10 | Inspections and detentions per ship type | 41 |
| Table 11 | Inspections with deficiencies per ship type | 43 |
| Table 12 | Inspections and detentions per recognized organization | 44 |
| Table 13 | Performance of recognized organization | 46 |
| Table 14 | Comparison of deficiencies by categories | 48 |
| Table 15 | Comparison of most frequent detainable deficiencies | 50 |
| Table 16 | List of under-performing ships | 51 |

OVERVIEW

GENERAL INTRODUCTION

The Annual Report on Port State Control in the Asia-Pacific Region is published under the auspices of the Port State Control Committee of the Memorandum of Understanding on Port State Control in the Asia-Pacific Region (Tokyo MOU). This annual report is the twenty-eighth issue and covers port State control activities and developments in the 2022 calendar year.

The Memorandum was signed in Tokyo on 1 December 1993 and came into effect on 1 April 1994. In accordance with the provisions of the Memorandum, Authorities that have signed and formally accepted the Memorandum or that have been accepted by unanimous consent of the Port State Control Committee become full members. Currently, the Memorandum has 21 full members, namely: Australia, Canada, Chile, China, Fiji, Hong Kong (China), Indonesia, Japan, Republic of Korea, Malaysia, Marshall Islands, New Zealand, Panama, Papua New Guinea, Philippines, Russian Federation, Peru. Singapore, Thailand, Vanuatu and Viet Nam. A maritime Authority that has declared its intention to fully adhere to the Memorandum at least for a three-year period may be accepted as a co-operating member by unanimous consent of the Port State Control Committee. Mexico is participating in the Tokyo MOU as a co-operating member Authority since 2018.

The main objectives of the Memorandum are to establish an effective port State control regime in the Asia-Pacific region through co-operation of its members, harmonization of the members' activities, to eliminate substandard shipping, to promote maritime safety and security, to protect the marine environment and to safeguard seafarers' working and living conditions on board ships.

The Port State Control Committee established under the Memorandum monitors and controls the implementation and on-going operation of the Memorandum. The Committee consists of representatives from the member Authorities. co-operating member Authorities and observers. Observer status has been granted to the following maritime Authorities and inter-governmental organizations the by Committee: Cambodia, Democratic People's Republic of Korea, Macao (China), Samoa, Solomon Islands, Tonga, the United States Coast Guard, the International Maritime Organization (IMO), the International Labour Organization (ILO), the Abuja MOU, the Black Sea MOU, the Caribbean MOU, the Indian Ocean MOU, the Mediterranean MOU, the Paris MoU, the Riyadh MOU and the Viña del Mar Agreement. The Secretariat of the Memorandum is located in Tokyo, Japan. The Asia-Pacific Computerized Information Centre is established in Moscow, Russian Federation.

For the purpose of the Memorandum, the following instruments are the basis for port

State control activities in the region:

- the International Convention on Load Lines, 1966, as amended;
- the Protocol of 1988 relating to the International Convention on Load Lines, 1966, as amended;
- the International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea, 1974, as amended;
- the Protocol of 1978 relating to the International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea, 1974;
- the Protocol of 1988 relating to the International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea, 1974;
- the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships 1973, as modified by the Protocol of 1978 relating thereto, as amended;
- the International Convention on Standards for Training, Certification and Watchkeeping for Seafarers, 1978, as amended;
- the Convention on the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972, as amended;
- the International Convention on Tonnage Measurement of Ships, 1969;
- the Merchant Shipping (Minimum Standards) Convention, 1976 (ILO Convention No. 147);

- the Maritime Labour Convention, 2006, as amended;
- the International Convention on the Control of Harmful Anti-fouling Systems on Ships, 2001, as amended;
- the Protocol of 1992 to amend the International Convention on Civil Liability for Oil Pollution Damage, 1969; and
- the International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast Water and Sediments, 2004, as amended.

REVIEW OF YEAR 2022

In the year 2022, the activities of the Tokyo MOU have been further recovered or resumed as the situation of the COVID-19 pandemic became more stable and the restrictions imposed became lesser. It is encouraging that, comparing with the last year, the physical initial inspections have been increased by 3,373 in number or 17.75% in percentage; that four expert mission trainings were able to be conducted in the face-to-face style and the general training course via virtual means was organized and, that the Port State Control Committee, the executive body of the Tokyo MOU, was able to meet physically, after two years of virtual sessions, to discuss important matters for the operation of the Tokyo MOU efficiently and effectively.

It is extremely unfortunate that the Tokyo MOU PSC database, APCIS, suffered an outage in July 2022 due to the unforeseen reason, likely a cyber-attack. The failure resulted the unavailability of the whole system for a couple of weeks and the restoration of full data for several months, which not only caused serious difficulties for Authorities and PSCOs to make accurate and effective selection of ships for inspection and to transmit inspection data efficiently and timely, but also created inconveniences for various parties, individuals and users from the industry, who regularly view and check Tokyo MOU PSC data. Taking the lesson from this incident, the Tokyo MOU will pay higher attention to the matter of cyber-risks and take all possible measures to enhance cyber security to prevent the recurrence.

The concentrated inspection campaign (CIC) on STCW was conducted from 1 September to 30 November 2022 jointly with the Paris MoU. During the CIC period, a total of 6,953 PSC inspections were conducted by the member Authorities, of which 5,908 (84.97%) were with a CIC inspection. A total of 1,041 CIC related deficiencies were found on 802 ships representing 13.57% of the CIC inspections. The highest number of CIC related deficiencies were relating to the Records of rest 289 (27.76%), followed by Voyage or passage plan 253 (24.30%) and Crew familiarization with Emergency Systems 114 (10.95%). 20 (0.34%) of the 5,908 ships subject to a CIC inspection were detained for CIC related deficiencies. Comparing with 232 detentions out of 6,953 inspections or 3.34% detention rate during the period, the outcome of the campaign appears to indicate a relatively high level of compliance with STCW. Other regional PSC regimes of the Abuja MOU, the Black Sea MOU, the Indian Ocean MOU, the Mediterranean MOU, the Rivadh MOU and the Viña del Mar Agreement also conducted the CIC in the same manner.

THE PORT STATE CONTROL COMMITTEE

The thirty-third meeting of the Port State Control Committee was held in Lima, Peru, from 14 to 17 November 2022, with hybrid participation. The meeting was hosted by the General Directorate of Captaincies and Coast Guards (DICAPI) of Peru. The meeting was



The thirty-third Committee meeting, Lima, November 2022.

chaired by Mr. Kenny Crawford, Deputy Chief Executive Technical Advice and Support, Maritime New Zealand.

The thirty-third meeting of the Port State Control Committee was attended by member Authorities of Australia, Canada, Chile, China, Fiji, Hong Kong (China), Indonesia, Japan, Republic of Korea, Malaysia, Marshall Islands, New Zealand, Panama, Papua New Guinea, Peru, Philippines, Russian Federation, Singapore, Thailand and Viet Nam; a co-operating member Authority of Mexico; and observers of Cambodia, Macao (China), Samoa, Tonga, the United States Coast Guard, the Black Sea MOU, the Indian Ocean MOU, the Paris MoU, the Viña del Mar Agreement (represented by Chile), ILO and IMO.

The Committee considered and agreed to the for full arrangement application of membership and assessment of compliance by Mexico, taking account of its satisfactory progress during the 4 years activities as a Co-operating Member Authority. The Committee considered the application for observer status by the Mediterranean MOU and unanimously agreed to grant the observer status to the Mediterranean MOU. For facilitating the Tokyo MOU in playing a more active role among regional PSC regimes, the Committee approved the proposal by the Secretariat for obtaining observer status at the Abuja MOU, the Black Sea MOU and the Mediterranean MOU, where the Tokyo MOU has not yet associated formally.

The Committee considered and adopted the amendment to the Memorandum for inclusion of the International Convention on Civil Liability for Bunker Oil Pollution Damage, 2001 (Bunker 2001) as a relevant instrument. The amendment will become effective on 1 January 2024. The Committee agreed to consider and adopt PSC guidelines on Bunker 2001 at the next meeting.

The Committee considered and approved the final report of the 2021 CIC on Stability in General. The Committee confirmed the arrangement for the joint CIC on Fire Safety in 2023. The Committee considered preparations for the joint CIC on Crew Wages and Seafarer Employment Agreement under MLC in 2024. Relating to the 2024 CIC, the Committee agreed to prepare and issue a circular, in the similar manner as the Paris MoU, for early implementation of 2022 amendments to MLC relating to financial security. The Committee also discussed potential topics, which may be taking up for future CICs.

For the purpose of appropriately addressing the new challenges for the Tokyo MOU and exploring the relevant countermeasures, the Committee decided to consider amendments to the strategic plan and actions. The Committee considered the follow-up action items from the 3rd Joint Ministerial Conference. For facilitating consideration and review of follow-up actions, the Committee decided to develop a new framework on follow-up actions. The Committee considered and approved the revision of the plan for technical co-operation programmes. The Committee considered and adopted the amendments to the Rules of Procedure for introducing the policy to allow the online participation in the meeting during the transition period back to "normal" from the COVID-19 pandemic.

The Committee noted the ongoing discussions of the intersessional group on new inspection regime (NIR) for review of method of assessment of performance of flag and RO. The Committee was informed of the progress on preparation and development of arrangements for carrying out PSC on fishing vessels made by the intersessional group on fishing vessel. The Committee received a report about the outage of the APCIS system happened in July 2022. The Committee discussed and decided to develop the relevant countermeasures to improve cyber security at the higher priority to prevent the recurrence.

Moreover, the Committee also gave consideration and made decisions on the following:

- adoption of the PSC guidelines for inspection of fixed fire-extinguishing system, the guidelines for the use of electronic certificates and the guidelines for PSCOs for onboard blackout test;
- establishment of new intersessional groups for development of guidelines for PSC on IGC Code and, for consideration of pre-inspection examination of certificates/ documentation electronically for normal PSC inspections and for inspection of electronic records relating to MARPOL and/or other conventions;
- approval of response to issues/requests raised by the industry organizations at the previous forum in October 2019;
- awarding the winner of the deficiency photo of the year and adoption of amendments to the procedures for the deficiency photo of the year; and
- consideration of the matter of enhancing accessibility and availability of the Tokyo MOU PSC data.
- In accordance with the new arrangements/

scheme adopted by the Committee, a session of forum with the industry was conveyed in conjunction with the Committee meeting in Lima, Peru. The forum was attended by representatives of ACS, IACS, ICS, ITF and INTERTANKO in-person or remotely via virtual means respectively.

The thirty-fourth meeting of the Port State Control Committee will be held in Yokohama, Japan, from 30 October to 2 November 2023.

TECHNICAL WORKING GROUP (TWG)

The sixteenth meeting of the Technical Working Group (TWG) was held in Lima, Peru, from 10 to 11 November 2022 in the hybrid mode, prior to the thirty-third meeting of the Port State Control Committee. The TWG16 meeting was chaired by Mr. Hu Ronghua, Deputy Director, Division of Ship Registry and Supervision, Shanghai Maritime Safety Administration (MSA) of China.

The TWG meeting discussed and made recommendations to the Committee on matters relating to:

- reports of intersessional groups: advisory group on information exchange (AG-IE), intersessional group on batch protocol (IG-BP), intersessional group on statistics (IG-Statistics), intersessional group on distance learning programmes (IG-DLP), Intersessional Group on Fixed Fire-extinguishing System (IG-FFS) and Intersessional Group on Black Out Test (IG-BOT);
- cases considered by the detention review panel;
- periodical revision of the PSC Manual;

- development and review of PSC guidelines;
- preparation and arrangements for upcoming CICs;
- activities and operation of the Asia-Pacific Computerized Information System (APCIS);
- management and maintenance of the coding system;
- analysis and statistics on PSC; and
- reports and evaluations of technical co-operation activities.

ASIA-PACIFIC COMPUTERIZED INFORMATION SYSTEM (APCIS)

For reporting and storing of port State inspection results and facilitating exchange of information in the region, a computerized database system was established. The central site of the APCIS is located in Moscow, under the auspices of the Ministry of Transport of Russian Federation.

The APCIS is connected by member Authorities on-line or by batch protocol for searching ships for inspection and for inputting and transmitting inspection reports. The APCIS also supports on-line publication of PSC data on the Tokyo MOU website (https://www.tokyo-mou.org) on a real time basis. Based on data stored in the database, the APCIS produces annual and detailed PSC statistics.

For inter-regional information exchange, the

APCIS has established deep hyperlinks with the databases of:

- THETIS of the Paris MoU;
- BSIS of the Black Sea MOU;
- IOCIS of the Indian Ocean MOU;
- CIALA of the Viña del Mar Agreement; and
- CMIC of the Caribbean MOU.

Furthermore, the PSC data of the Tokyo MOU are also provided to IMO GISIS and EQUASIS.

TRAINING AND SEMINARS FOR PORT STATE CONTROL OFFICERS

After two years' suspension, the tenth general training course for PSC officers was organized from 6 June to 24 August 2022 via virtual means, instead of face-to-face style due to the continuous impacts of the COVID-19. A total of 26 PSC officers participated in the training course. Twenty-one of them were from the Tokyo MOU region: Cambodia, Chile, China, Fiji, Republic of Korea, Malaysia, Marshall Islands, New Zealand, Panama, Papua New Guinea, Peru, Philippines, Russian Federation, Solomon Islands, Thailand, Vanuatu and Viet Nam; and five of them were nominated from the Black Sea MOU, the Caribbean MOU, the Indian Ocean MOU, the Mediterranean MOU and the Riyadh MOU. The course was conducted by the Tokyo MOU, with the full support by the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism of Japan (MLIT) and the extensive assistance by the Shipbuilding Research Centre of Japan (SRC).

The training course consisted of a two-month pre-learning course and 17 days online classroom lectures. During the pre-learning period, the participants were requested to



The tenth general training course for PSC officers via virtual means.

complete 32 pre-learning modules and the tests through the e-learning system developed for the Distance Learning Programme (DLP). Upon completion of the pre-learning, participants were arranged to attend the online classroom lectures, which provided a wide range of lectures related to IMO and ILO conventions and regulations relevant to PSC implementation. Experts from MLIT, SRC and the Secretariat delivered lectures on the relevant subjects.

The twenty-ninth seminar for PSCOs was organized remotely via virtual means from 12 to 14 July 2022, instead of the originally planned normal physical seminar in the Russian Federation, due to the COVID-19 pandemic and other unforeseen factors. Participants from Authorities of Australia, Cambodia, Canada, Chile, China, Fiji, Hong Kong (China), Indonesia, Japan, Republic of Korea, Macao (China), Malaysia, Marshall Islands, Mexico, Panama, Papua New Guinea, Peru, Russian Federation, Samoa, Singapore, Thailand, Vanuatu and Viet Nam attended the seminar. Representatives from the Secretariat of Abuja MOU, from Belize representing the Caribbean MOU and from Bangladesh and Seychelles representing the Indian Ocean MOU also participated in the seminar. The major topics of the seminar were Results of the CIC on Stability in General 2021, CIC on STCW 2022, Introduction of Guidelines related to Fixed CO₂ Fire-extinguishing System, Introduction of Deficiency Writing Guidelines and Introduction of guidance for inspection of UNSP Barges and for exercise of



The twenty-ninth seminar for PSC officers via virtual means

control over Statement of Compliance – Fuel Oil Consumption Reporting (due to change Flag or Company). Experts from Australia, China, Japan, Marshall Islands and the Secretariat delivered the presentations on the relevant topics.

Four expert missions were carried out in 2022, in the normal face-to-face style. The first and the second missions were conducted from 5 to 9 September 2022 in Malaysia and in Philippines respectively. The third mission was conducted from 19 to 23 September 2022 in Thailand. The fourth mission was conducted from 21 to 23 September 2022 in Viet Nam. All four missions were delivered by experts from Japan. All four missions were implemented as the activity to support them to realize the final stage of the MEPSEAS Project: pilot launch of PSC inspection in key ports.

The second Seminar for Flag Performance Improvement, which is funded by the Nippon Foundation and was originally scheduled in February 2020 but postponed for two years due to the COVID-19 pandemic, was held in Da Nang, Viet Nam, from 5 to 9 December 2022. Officials involved in flag Administrations policy of Cambodia, Kiribati, Mongolia and Palau attended the Seminar. The Authority of Viet Nam provided full support to the Seminar. Experts from Japan, Singapore, Thailand and Viet Nam, and the Nippon Kaiji Kyokai (Class NK), the IMO Secretariat and the Tokyo MOU Secretariat gave lectures at the Seminar.

During the past three years, the Tokyo MOU was unfortunately forced to implement the technical co-operation activities via virtual means due to the COVID-19 pandemic. However, from the positive aspect, such a situation enabled the Tokyo MOU to have the alternative or the additional option to enhance



Flag Performance Improvement Seminar

the technical co-operation programmes. The incessant implementation of the technical co-operation programmes and the continuous kind funding by the Nippon foundation received full support by all Authorities.

CO-OPERATION WITH OTHER REGIONAL PORT STATE CONTROL REGIMES

Establishment and effective operation of regional co-operation regimes on port State control has formed a worldwide network for elimination of substandard shipping. Currently, there are a total of nine regional port State control regimes (MOUs) covering major part of the world, namely:

- Abuja MOU
- Black Sea MOU
- Caribbean MOU
- Indian Ocean MOU
- Mediterranean MOU
- Paris MoU
- Riyadh MOU
- Tokyo MOU
- Viña del Mar Agreement

As one of the inter-governmental organizations (IGOs) associated with IMO, the

Tokyo MOU has attended meetings of the Flag State Implementation (FSI) Sub-Committee and Implementation of IMO Instruments (III) Sub-Committees since 2006. The Tokyo MOU Secretariat participated in the eighth session of III Sub-Committee which was held in hybrid style from 25 to 28 July 2022.

In support of inter-regional collaboration on port State control, the Tokyo MOU holds observer status within the Paris MoU, the Caribbean MOU, the Indian Ocean MOU, the Viña del Mar Agreement, and the Riyadh MOU and, in accordance with the decision of the Port State Control Committee, the Tokyo MOU will also obtain observer status at the Abuja MOU, the Black Sea MOU and the Mediterranean MOU. In a similar manner, the Tokyo MOU has granted observer status to all the aforementioned regional PSC regimes.

The Tokyo MOU has established, and maintained, effective and close co-operation with the Paris MoU at both administrative and technical levels. Representatives of the two Secretariats attend the Port State Control Committee meetings of each MOU on a regular basis. During period of 2022, the Tokyo MOU Secretariat attended the 55th meeting of the Paris MoU PSC Committee remotely, which was held from 16 to 20 May 2022 in Bucharest, Romania, in hybrid style.

In addition, the Tokyo MOU Secretariat participated in the 27th meeting of the Caribbean MOU PSC Committee remotely, which was held on 21 - 23 June 2022 in Miami with virtual participation arrangement.

PORT STATE CONTROL UNDER THE TOKYO MOU, 2022

INSPECTIONS

In 2022, 24,894 inspections, involving 15,853 individual ships, were carried out on ships registered under 99 flags. As shown in Table 2b, 2,515 or 10.10% inspections were remote inspections. Figure 3 and Table 2 show the number of inspections carried out by the member Authorities of the Tokyo MOU. It would be noted that inspections for some



Winner of Deficiency Photo of the Year – 2022 (Ship type: General cargo/multi-purpose ship; Date: 03/08/2022; Port: Shanghai)



Candidate photo for Deficiency Photo of the Year (Ship type: General cargo/multi-purpose ship; Date: 04/04/2022; Port: Nakhodka)

member Authorities were still limited due to the prolongation of the COVID-19 pandemic. Out of 24,894 inspections, there were 12,678 inspections where ships were found with deficiencies. Since the total number of individual ships operating in the region was estimated at 26,259*, the inspection rate in the region was approximately 60%** in 2022 (see Figure 1).

Information on inspections according to ships' flag is shown in Table 4.

Figure 2 and Table 3 provide information on inspections per ship risk profile.

Figures summarizing inspections according to ship type are set out in Figure 4 and Table 5.

Inspection results regarding recognized

^{*} Number of individual ships which visited the ports of the region during the year (the figure was provided by LLI).

^{**} The inspection rate is calculated by number of individual ships inspected/number of individual ships visited.



Candidate photo for Deficiency Photo of the Year (Ship type: General cargo/multi-purpose ship; Date: 12/11/2022; Port: Shanghai)

organizations are shown in Table 6.

DETENTIONS

A ship is detained when the condition of the ship or its crew does not correspond substantially with the applicable conventions. Such strong action is to ensure that the ship cannot sail until it can proceed to sea without presenting a danger to the ship or persons on board, and/or without presenting an unreasonable threat of harm to the marine environment.

In 2022, 725 ships registered under 56 flags were detained due to serious deficiencies having been found onboard. The detention rate of ships inspected was 2.91%.

Figure 5 shows the detention rate by flag for flags where at least 20 port State control inspections had been conducted and whose detention rate was above the average regional rate. Figure 6 gives the detention rate by ship type. Figure 8 illustrates the most frequent detainable deficiencies found during inspections in 2022.

For the purpose of targeting the ship to be

inspected, the Black-grey-white list (Table 8) indicates levels of performance of flags over a three-year rolling period. Flags, whose ships were involved in 30 or more inspections during the period, are included in the list. The black-grey-white list for 2020-2022 consists of 56 flags. The number of flags in the black list is 4, one flag increased than the last year. The number of flags on the grey list remains 16 flags. The white list includes 36 flags, one less than the previous year.

A list of under-performing ships (i.e., ships detained three or more times during previous twelve months) is published monthly. A total of 33 vessels, involving 8 individual ships, were identified as under-performing ships in 2022. The list of under-performing ships is provided in Table 16.



Candidate photo for Deficiency Photo of the Year (Ship type: Heavy load carrier; Date: 26/07/2022; Port: Shanghai)



Candidate photo for Deficiency Photo of the Year (Ship type: Bulk carrier; Date: 04/06/2022; Port: Newcastle, NSW)

DEFICIENCIES

Where conditions on board are found that are not in compliance with the requirements of the relevant instruments by the port State control officers, these are recorded as deficiencies and required to be rectified.

A total of 46,769 deficiencies were recorded in 2022. The deficiencies found are categorized and shown in Figure 7 and Table 7.

It has been noted that fire safety measures, safety of navigation and life-saving appliances continue to be the top three categories of deficiencies discovered on ships. In 2022, 7,107 deficiencies related to fire safety measures, 6,405 deficiencies related to safety of navigation and 5,918 deficiencies related to life-saving appliances were recorded. representing nearly 42% of the total number of all recorded deficiencies. It is noted that the categories of deficiencies on ship documents, emergency systems and safety of navigation increased in 2022, as the results of the CIC on STCW, during which items of records of rest/ minimum hours of rest, crew familiarization with emergency systems, voyage/passage plan and establishment of working language had been checked.

DEFICIENCY PHOTO OF THE YEAR

The function for collecting and storing deficiency photos taken during PSC inspections in the APCIS has been implemented since 2009. For encouraging and promoting PSC officers to submitting deficiency photos, a prize of deficiency photo of the year has been established to award the PSC officer who took the best photo of deficiency in the year. Deficiency photo of the years are also published on the Tokyo MOU website.

In 2022, a total of 7,696 photos were submitted by PSC officers. In accordance with the procedures for selection of deficiency photo of the year, the photo taken by PSC officer of the Authority of China was selected as the winner for 2022. Deficiency photo of the year – 2022 and other candidate photos are provided in this section.

OVERVIEW OF PORT STATE CONTROL RESULTS 2012 – 2022

Figures 9-14 show the comparison of port



Candidate photo for Deficiency Photo of the Year (Ship type: General cargo/multi-purpose ship; Date: 04/08/2022; Port: Brisbane, QLD)

State inspection results for 2012 - 2022. These figures indicate the trends in port State activities and ship performance over the past eleven years.



Figure 1: INSPECTION PERCENTAGE



Figure 3: PORT STATE INSPECTIONS - CONTRIBUTION BY AUTHORITIES



Figure 5: DETENTIONS PER FLAG

Note: Flags listed above are those flags the ships of which were involved in at least 20 port State inspections and detention percentage of which are above the regional average detention percentage. The complete information on detentions by flag is given in Table 4.



Figure 6: DETENTION PER SHIP TYPE



Figure 8: MOST FREQUENT DETAINABLE DEFICIENCIES





OVERVIEW OF PORT STATE CONTROL RESULTS 2012 - 2022

Figure 10: INSPECTION PERCENTAGE









Figure 12: NO. OF DEFICIENCIES









ANNEX 1

STATUS OF THE RELEVANT INSTRUMENTS

Table 1: STATUS OF THE RELEVANT INSTRUMENTS

(Date of deposit of instruments)

(As of 31 December 2022)

| Authority | thority LOAD LOAD SOLAS SOLAS SOLAS MARPOL | | | | | STCW | |
|-----------------------|--|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Autionty | LINES | LINES | 74 | PROT | PROT | 73/78 | 78 |
| | <mark>66</mark> | PROT 88 | | 78 | 88 | | |
| Australia | 29/07/68 | 07/02/97 | 17/08/83 | 17/08/83 | 07/02/97 | 14/10/87 | 07/11/83 |
| Canada | 14/01/70 | 08/04/10 | 08/05/78 | - | 08/04/10 | 16/11/92 | 06/11/87 |
| Chile | 10/03/75 | 03/03/95 | 28/03/80 | 15/07/92 | 29/09/95 | 10/10/94 | 09/06/87 |
| China | 05/10/73 | 03/02/95 | 07/01/80 | 17/12/82 | 03/02/95 | 01/07/83 | 08/06/81 |
| Fiji | 29/11/72 | 28/07/04 | 04/03/83 | 28/07/04 | 28/07/04 | 08/03/16 | 27/03/91 |
| Hong Kong, China* | 16/08/72 | 23/10/02 | 25/05/80 | 25/11/81 | 23/10/02 | 11/04/85 | 03/11/84 |
| Indonesia | 17/01/77 | 28/11/17 | 17/02/81 | 23/08/88 | 28/11/17 | 21/10/86 | 27/01/87 |
| Japan | 15/05/68 | 24/06/97 | 15/05/80 | 15/05/80 | 24/06/97 | 09/06/83 | 27/05/82 |
| Republic of Korea | 10/07/69 | 14/11/94 | 31/12/80 | 02/12/82 | 14/11/94 | 23/07/84 | 04/04/85 |
| Malaysia | 12/01/71 | 11/11/11 | 19/10/83 | 19/10/83 | 11/11/11 | 31/01/97 | 31/01/92 |
| Marshall Islands | 26/04/88 | 29/11/94 | 26/04/88 | 26/04/88 | 16/10/95 | 26/04/88 | 25/04/89 |
| New Zealand | 05/02/70 | 03/06/01 | 23/02/90 | 23/02/90 | 03/06/01 | 25/09/98 | 30/07/86 |
| Panama | 13/05/66 | 17/09/07 | 09/03/78 | 14/07/82 | 17/09/07 | 20/02/85 | 29/06/92 |
| Papua New Guinea | 18/05/76 | - | 12/11/80 | - | - | 25/10/93 | 28/10/91 |
| Peru | 18/01/67 | 24/06/09 | 04/12/79 | 16/07/82 | 21/08/09 | 25/04/80 | 16/07/82 |
| Philippines | 04/03/69 | 24/04/18 | 15/12/81 | 24/04/18 | 06/06/18 | 15/06/01 | 22/02/84 |
| Russian Federation | 04/07/66 | 18/08/00 | 09/01/80 | 12/05/81 | 18/08/00 | 03/11/83 | 09/10/79 |
| Singapore | 21/09/71 | 18/08/99 | 16/03/81 | 01/06/84 | 10/08/99 | 01/11/90 | 01/05/88 |
| Thailand | 30/12/92 | - | 18/12/84 | - | - | 02/11/07 | 19/06/97 |
| Vanuatu | 28/07/82 | 26/11/90 | 28/07/82 | 28/07/82 | 14/09/92 | 13/04/89 | 22/04/91 |
| Viet Nam | 18/12/90 | 27/05/02 | 18/12/90 | 12/10/92 | 27/05/02 | 29/05/91 | 18/12/90 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Mexico | 25/03/70 | 13/05/94 | 28/03/77 | 30/06/83 | 13/05/94 | 23/04/92 | 02/02/82 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Cambodia | 28/11/94 | 08/06/01 | 28/11/94 | 28/11/94 | 08/06/01 | 28/11/94 | 08/06/01 |
| DPR Korea | 18/10/89 | 08/08/01 | 01/05/85 | 01/05/85 | 08/08/01 | 01/05/85 | 01/05/85 |
| Macao, China* | 18/07/05 | 11/10/10 | 20/12/99 | 20/12/99 | 24/06/05 | 20/12/99 | 18/07/05 |
| Samoa | 23/10/79 | 18/05/04 | 14/03/97 | 14/03/97 | 18/05/04 | 07/02/02 | 24/05/93 |
| Solomon Islands | 30/06/04 | - | 30/06/04 | - | - | 30/06/04 | 01/06/94 |
| Tonga | 12/04/77 | 15/06/00 | 12/04/77 | 18/09/03 | 15/06/00 | 01/02/96 | 07/02/95 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Entry into force date | 21/07/68 | 03/02/00 | 25/05/80 | 01/05/81 | 03/02/00 | 02/10/83 | 28/04/84 |

* Effective date of extension of instruments.

| Authority | COLREG | TONNAGE | ILO | MLC | AFS | CLC PROT | BWM |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Authomy | 72 | 69 | 147** | 2006*** | 2001 | 92 | 2004 |
| Australia | 29/02/80 | 21/05/82 | - | 21/12/11 | 09/01/07 | 09/10/95 | 07/06/17 |
| Canada | 07/03/75 | 18/07/94 | D | 15/06/10 | 08/04/10 | 29/05/98 | 08/04/10 |
| Chile | 02/08/77 | 22/11/82 | - | 22/02/18 | 06/10/16 | 29/05/02 | - |
| China | 07/01/80 | 08/04/80 | - | 12/11/15 | 07/03/11 | 05/01/99 | 22/10/18 |
| Fiji | 04/03/83 | 29/11/72 | - | 21/01/13 | 08/03/16 | 30/11/99 | 08/03/16 |
| Hong Kong, China* | 15/07/77 | 18/07/82 | - | 06/08/18 | 15/02/16 | 05/01/99 | 13/08/20 |
| Indonesia | 13/11/79 | 14/03/89 | - | 12/06/17 | 11/09/14 | 06/07/99 | 24/11/15 |
| Japan | 21/06/77 | 17/07/80 | D | 05/08/13 | 08/07/03 | 24/08/94 | 10/10/14 |
| Republic of Korea | 29/07/77 | 18/01/80 | - | 09/01/14 | 24/07/08 | 07/03/97 | 10/12/09 |
| Malaysia | 23/12/80 | 24/04/84 | - | 20/08/13 | 27/09/10 | 09/06/04 | 27/09/10 |
| Marshall Islands | 26/04/88 | 25/04/89 | - | 25/09/07 | 09/05/08 | 16/10/95 | 26/11/09 |
| New Zealand | 26/11/76 | 06/01/78 | - | 09/03/16 | - | 25/06/98 | 09/01/17 |
| Panama | 14/03/79 | 09/03/78 | - | 06/02/09 | 17/09/07 | 18/03/99 | 19/10/16 |
| Papua New Guinea | 18/05/76 | 25/10/93 | - | - | - | 23/01/01 | - |
| Peru | 09/01/80 | 16/07/82 | 06/07/04 | - | 02/07/19 | 01/09/05 | 10/06/16 |
| Philippines | 10/06/13 | 06/09/78 | - | 20/08/12 | 06/06/18 | 07/07/97 | 06/06/18 |
| Russian Federation | 09/11/73 | 20/11/69 | D | 20/08/12 | 19/10/12 | 20/03/00 | 24/05/12 |
| Singapore | 29/04/77 | 06/06/85 | - | 15/06/11 | 31/12/09 | 18/09/97 | 08/06/17 |
| Thailand | 06/08/79 | 11/06/96 | - | 07/06/16 | - | 17/07/17 | - |
| Vanuatu | 28/07/82 | 13/01/89 | - | - | 20/08/08 | 18/02/99 | - |
| Viet Nam | 18/12/90 | 18/12/90 | - | 08/05/13 | 27/11/15 | 17/06/03 | - |
| | | | | | | | |
| Mexico | 08/04/76 | 14/07/72 | - | - | 07/07/06 | 13/05/94 | 18/03/08 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Cambodia | 28/11/94 | 28/11/94 | - | - | - | 08/06/01 | - |
| DPR Korea | 01/05/85 | 18/10/89 | - | - | 21/08/20 | 13/07/21 | - |
| Macao, China* | 20/12/99 | 18/07/05 | - | - | 07/03/11 | 24/06/05 | 22/10/18 |
| Samoa | 23/10/79 | 18/05/04 | - | 21/11/13 | - | 01/02/02 | - |
| Solomon Islands | 12/03/82 | 30/06/04 | - | - | - | 30/06/04 | - |
| Tonga | 12/04/97 | 12/04/97 | - | - | 16/04/14 | 10/12/99 | 16/04/14 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Entry into force date | 15/07/77 | 18/07/82 | 28/11/81 | 20/08/13 | 17/09/08 | 30/05/96 | 08/09/17 |

(As of 31 December 2022)

* Effective date of extension of instruments.

** Although some Authorities have not ratified the ILO Convention No.147, parts of the ILO conventions referred to therein are implemented under their national legislation and port State control is carried out on matters covered by the national regulations.

*** MLC 2006 will supersede ILO147 if the Authority ratified both of them.

Table 1a: STATUS OF MARPOL 73/78

(Date of deposit of instruments)

| | | | | (As of 31 Dece | mber 2022) |
|-----------------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|
| Authority | Annexes I & II | Annex III | Annex IV | Annex V | Annex VI |
| Australia | 14/10/87 | 10/10/94 | 27/02/04 | 14/08/90 | 07/08/07 |
| Canada | 16/11/92 | 08/08/02 | 26/03/10 | 26/03/10 | 26/03/10 |
| Chile | 10/10/94 | 10/10/94 | 10/10/94 | 15/08/08 | 16/10/06 |
| China | 01/07/83 | 13/09/94 | 02/11/06 | 21/11/88 | 23/05/06 |
| Fiji | 08/03/16 | - | 08/03/16 | 08/03/16 | - |
| Hong Kong, China* | 11/04/85 | 07/03/95 | 02/11/06 | 27/03/96 | 20/03/08 |
| Indonesia | 21/10/86 | 24/08/12 | 24/08/12 | 24/08/12 | 24/08/12 |
| Japan | 09/06/83 | 09/06/83 | 09/06/83 | 09/06/83 | 15/02/05 |
| Republic of Korea | 23/07/84 | 28/02/96 | 28/11/03 | 28/02/96 | 20/04/06 |
| Malaysia | 31/01/97 | 27/09/10 | 27/09/10 | 31/01/97 | 27/09/10 |
| Marshall Islands | 26/04/88 | 26/04/88 | 26/04/88 | 26/04/88 | 07/03/02 |
| New Zealand | 25/09/98 | 25/09/98 | - | 25/09/98 | 26/05/22 |
| Panama | 20/02/85 | 20/02/85 | 20/02/85 | 20/02/85 | 13/05/03 |
| Papua New Guinea | 25/10/93 | 25/10/93 | 25/10/93 | 25/10/93 | - |
| Peru | 25/04/80 | 25/04/80 | 25/04/80 | 25/04/80 | 04/12/14 |
| Philippines | 15/06/01 | 15/06/01 | 15/06/01 | 15/06/01 | 24/04/18 |
| Russian Federation | 03/11/83 | 14/08/87 | 14/08/87 | 14/08/87 | 08/04/11 |
| Singapore | 01/11/90 | 02/03/94 | 01/05/05 | 27/05/99 | 08/10/00 |
| Thailand | 02/11/07 | - | - | - | - |
| Vanuatu | 13/04/89 | 22/04/91 | 15/03/04 | 22/04/91 | 15/03/04 |
| Viet Nam | 29/05/91 | 19/12/14 | 19/12/14 | 19/12/14 | 19/12/14 |
| | | | | | |
| Mexico | 23/04/92 | 15/07/22 | 15/07/22 | 15/07/98 | - |
| | | | | | |
| Cambodia | 28/11/94 | 28/11/94 | 28/11/94 | 28/11/94 | - |
| DPR Korea | 01/05/01 | 01/05/01 | 01/05/01 | 01/05/01 | - |
| Macao, China* | 20/12/99 | 20/12/99 | 02/11/06 | 20/12/99 | 23/05/06 |
| Samoa | 07/02/02 | 07/02/02 | 07/02/02 | 07/02/02 | 18/05/04 |
| Solomon Islands | 30/06/04 | 30/06/04 | 30/06/04 | 30/06/04 | - |
| Tonga | 01/02/96 | 01/02/96 | 01/02/96 | 01/02/96 | 20/03/15 |
| | | | | | |
| Entry into force date | 02/10/1983 | 01/07/1992 | 27/09/2003 | 31/12/1988 | 19/05/2005 |

* Effective date of extension of instruments.

ANNEX 2

PORT STATE INSPECTION STATISTICS

STATISTICS FOR 2022

Table 2: PORT STATE INSPECTIONS CARRIED OUT BY AUTHORITIES

| Authority | No. of individual ships inspected (a) | No. of initial and follow-up inspections (b+c) | No. of initial inspections (b) | No. of follow-up inspections (c) | No. of inspections with deficiencies (d) | No. of deficiencies ¹⁾ (e) | No. of detentions ¹⁾ (f) | No. of individual ships visited ²⁾ (g) | Inspection rate (a/g%) | Detention percentage (f/b%) |
|----------------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Australia ³⁾ | 2,167 | 3,621 | 2,405 | 1,216 | 1,419 | 6,213 | 144 | 6,065 | 35.73 | 5.99 |
| Canada ⁴⁾ | 579 | 587 | 587 | 0 | 423 | 2,463 | 22 | 2,012 | 28.78 | 3.75 |
| Chile | 686 | 1,466 | 724 | 742 | 483 | 1,495 | 7 | 1,948 | 35.22 | 0.97 |
| China | 2,915 | 4,501 | 3,251 | 1,250 | 2,522 | 8,048 | 179 | 15,037 | 19.39 | 5.51 |
| Fiji | 3 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 216 | 1.39 | 0 |
| Hong Kong, China | 240 | 373 | 250 | 123 | 155 | 603 | 6 | 3,974 | 6.04 | 2.40 |
| Indonesia | 2,148 | 2,945 | 2,754 | 191 | 850 | 2,583 | 57 | 7,977 | 26.93 | 2.07 |
| Japan | 2,409 | 3,506 | 2,958 | 548 | 1,538 | 6,262 | 69 | 7,254 | 33.21 | 2.33 |
| Republic of Korea | 1,342 | 2,050 | 1,499 | 551 | 1,061 | 3,901 | 53 | 11,191 | 11.99 | 3.54 |
| Malaysia | 1,055 | 1,431 | 1,232 | 199 | 530 | 1,864 | 9 | 7,283 | 14.49 | 0.73 |
| Marshall Islands | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 3.28 | 0 |
| New Zealand | 157 | 272 | 173 | 99 | 109 | 445 | 7 | 1,015 | 15.47 | 4.05 |
| Panama ⁴⁾ | 185 | 226 | 211 | 15 | 55 | 137 | 2 | 4,060 | 4.56 | 0.95 |
| Papua New Guinea | 117 | 204 | 150 | 54 | 83 | 364 | 2 | 504 | 23.21 | 1.33 |
| Peru | 1,096 | 1,269 | 1,224 | 45 | 31 | 63 | 1 | 1,871 | 58.58 | 0.08 |
| Philippines | 1,517 | 2,144 | 1,812 | 332 | 501 | 1,188 | 2 | 3,799 | 39.93 | 0.11 |
| Russian Federation ⁴⁾ | 907 | 2,935 | 1,468 | 1,467 | 1,249 | 6,292 | 106 | 1,519 | 59.71 | 7.22 |
| Singapore | 852 | 1,101 | 884 | 217 | 479 | 2,114 | 46 | 14,819 | 5.75 | 5.20 |
| Thailand | 922 | 1,167 | 1,097 | 70 | 305 | 670 | 11 | 3,853 | 23.93 | 1.00 |
| Vanuatu | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 2.86 | 0 |
| Viet Nam | 1,877 | 2,432 | 2,208 | 224 | 882 | 2,058 | 2 | 4,811 | 39.01 | 0.09 |
| Total | 15,853 | 32,242 | 24,894 | 7,348 | 12,678 | 46,769 | 725 | Regional 26,259 | Regional 60% | Regional 2.91% |

Numbers of deficiencies and detentions do not include those related to security. LLI data for 2022. Data for Australia is also provided to Indian Ocean MOU. Data is only for the Pacific ports.

1) 2) 3) 4)

| Authority | No. of inspections | No. of inspections with security related deficiencies | No. of security related deficiencies | No. of security related detentions | Detention percentage (%) |
|--------------------|-----------------------|---|--|--|--------------------------------|
| Australia | 2,405 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Canada | 587 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0 |
| Chile | 724 | 24 | 29 | 0 | 0 |
| China | 3,251 | 46 | 46 | 1 | 0.03 |
| Fiji | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hong Kong, China | 250 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 0 |
| Indonesia | 2,754 | 25 | 30 | 2 | 0.07 |
| Japan | 2,958 | 94 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| Republic of Korea | 1,499 | 186 | 190 | 0 | 0 |
| Malaysia | 1,232 | 46 | 47 | 1 | 0.08 |
| Marshall Islands | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| New Zealand | 173 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| Panama | 211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Papua New Guinea | 150 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Peru | 1,224 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Philippines | 1,812 | 54 | 73 | 0 | 0 |
| Russian Federation | 1,468 | 125 | 130 | 4 | 0.27 |
| Singapore | 884 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 |
| Thailand | 1,097 | 61 | 66 | 0 | 0 |
| Vanuatu | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Viet Nam | 2,208 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 24,894 | 750 | 802 | 8 | Regional 0.03% |

Table 2a: PORT STATE INSPECTIONS ON MARITIME SECURITY

Note: Security related data showing in the above table and the tables of deficiency by category are <u>excluded</u> from all other statistical tables and figures in this report.

Table 2b: PORT STATE INSPECTIONS BY CATEGORIES (PHYSICAL & REMOTE)

| Authority | | Initia | I inspect | ions | | Follow-up inspections | | | | |
|--------------------|--------|---------------|-----------|------------|--------|-----------------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|
| Autionty | Phys | Physical Remo | | note Total | | Physical | | Remote | | Total |
| | # | % | # | % | | # | % | # | % | |
| Australia | 2,405 | 100.00 | 0 | 0 | 2,405 | 1,058 | 87.01 | 158 | 12.99 | 1,216 |
| Canada | 587 | 100.00 | 0 | 0 | 587 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chile | 724 | 100.00 | 0 | 0 | 724 | 647 | 87.20 | 95 | 12.80 | 742 |
| China | 1,027 | 31.59 | 2,224 | 68.41 | 3,251 | 322 | 25.76 | 928 | 74.24 | 1,250 |
| Fiji | 4 | 100.00 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 100.00 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Hong Kong, China | 249 | 99.60 | 1 | 0.40 | 250 | 55 | 44.72 | 68 | 55.28 | 123 |
| Indonesia | 2,754 | 100.00 | 0 | 0 | 2,754 | 190 | 99.48 | 1 | 0.52 | 191 |
| Japan | 2,851 | 96.38 | 107 | 3.62 | 2,958 | 511 | 93.25 | 37 | 6.75 | 548 |
| Republic of Korea | 1,498 | 99.93 | 1 | 0.07 | 1,499 | 388 | 70.42 | 163 | 29.58 | 551 |
| Malaysia | 1,232 | 100.00 | 0 | 0 | 1,232 | 197 | 98.99 | 2 | 1.01 | 199 |
| Marshall Islands | 1 | 50.00 | 1 | 50.00 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| New Zealand | 173 | 100.00 | 0 | 0 | 173 | 87 | 87.88 | 12 | 12.12 | 99 |
| Panama | 211 | 100.00 | 0 | 0 | 211 | 9 | 60.00 | 6 | 40.00 | 15 |
| Papua New Guinea | 150 | 100.00 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 54 | 100.00 | 0 | 0 | 54 |
| Peru | 1,223 | 99.92 | 1 | 0.08 | 1,224 | 25 | 55.56 | 20 | 44.44 | 45 |
| Philippines | 1,812 | 100.00 | 0 | 0 | 1,812 | 332 | 100.00 | 0 | 0 | 332 |
| Russian Federation | 1,468 | 100.00 | 0 | 0 | 1,468 | 1,323 | 90.18 | 144 | 9.82 | 1,467 |
| Singapore | 774 | 87.56 | 110 | 12.44 | 884 | 83 | 38.25 | 134 | 61.75 | 217 |
| Thailand | 1,097 | 100.00 | 0 | 0 | 1,097 | 70 | 100.00 | 0 | 0 | 70 |
| Vanuatu | 1 | 100.00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Viet Nam | 2,138 | 96.83 | 70 | 3.17 | 2,208 | 224 | 100.00 | 0 | 0 | 224 |
| Total | 22,379 | 89.90 | 2,515 | 10.10 | 24,894 | 5,580 | 75.94 | 1,768 | 24.06 | 7,348 |

| Authority | | Ship Risk Profile (SRP) | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|-------|-------------------------|-------|----------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | HRS | SRS | LRS | SRP Unknown | Total No. of inspections | | | | |
| Australia | 417 | 1,238 | 745 | 5 | 2,405 | | | | |
| Canada | 132 | 294 | 161 | 0 | 587 | | | | |
| Chile | 138 | 409 | 177 | 0 | 724 | | | | |
| China | 1,060 | 1,522 | 650 | 19 | 3,251 | | | | |
| Fiji | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | | | | |
| Hong Kong, China | 71 | 120 | 57 | 2 | 250 | | | | |
| Indonesia | 776 | 1,217 | 761 | 0 | 2,754 | | | | |
| Japan | 771 | 1,549 | 626 | 12 | 2,958 | | | | |
| Republic of Korea | 529 | 693 | 277 | 0 | 1,499 | | | | |
| Malaysia | 401 | 576 | 249 | 6 | 1,232 | | | | |
| Marshall Islands | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | | | | |
| New Zealand | 44 | 94 | 35 | 0 | 173 | | | | |
| Panama | 33 | 107 | 71 | 0 | 211 | | | | |
| Papua New Guinea | 46 | 78 | 26 | 0 | 150 | | | | |
| Peru | 218 | 676 | 328 | 2 | 1,224 | | | | |
| Philippines | 547 | 885 | 380 | 0 | 1,812 | | | | |
| Russian Federation | 910 | 412 | 135 | 11 | 1,468 | | | | |
| Singapore | 272 | 491 | 119 | 2 | 884 | | | | |
| Thailand | 250 | 548 | 299 | 0 | 1,097 | | | | |
| Vanuatu | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | | | |
| Viet Nam | 787 | 992 | 427 | 2 | 2,208 | | | | |
| Total | 7,404 | 11,906 | 5,523 | 61 | 24,894 | | | | |

Table 3: PORT STATE INSPECTIONS PER SHIP RISK PROFILE

| | No. of | No. of | No. of | No. of | Detention |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|
| Flag | inspections | inspections | deficiencies | detentions | percentage |
| | | with deficiencies | | | % |
| Algeria | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 165 | 80 | 304 | 12 | 7.27 |
| Australia | 2 | 1 | 6 | 12 | 50.00 |
| Bahamas | 555 | 209 | 631 | 9 | 1.62 |
| Bahrain | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bangladesh | 76 | 49 | 136 | 2 | 2.63 |
| Barbados | 31 | 9 | 19 | 0 | 0 |
| Belgium | 24 | 13 | 44 | 2 | 8.33 |
| Belize | 774 | 728 | 3,635 | 69 | 8.91 |
| Bermuda (UK) | 29 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| Bolivia | 3 | 3 | 13 | 1 | 33.33 |
| Brazil | 4 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Brunei Darussalam | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Cambodia | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Cameroon | 15 | 13 | 79 | 2 | 13.33 |
| Cayman Islands (UK) | 51 | 17 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| Chile | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| China | 817 | 336 | 1,129 | 3 | 0.37 |
| Comoros | 4 | 4 | 18 | 1 | 25.00 |
| Cook Islands | 27 | 24 | 118 | 2 | 7.41 |
| Croatia | 7 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Curacao | 9 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| Cyprus | 357 | 152 | 533 | 7 | 1.96 |
| Denmark | 165 | 70 | 201 | 1 | 0.61 |
| Djibouti | 6 | 6 | 45 | 1 | 16.67 |
| Dominica | 10 | 10 | 65 | 3 | 30.00 |
| Ecuador | 5 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| Egypt | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ethiopia | 9 | 5 | 20 | 1 | 11.11 |
| Falkland Islands (UK) (Malvinas) | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Faroe Islands (Denmark) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Finland | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| France | 29 | 9 | 31 | 0 | 0 |
| Gabon | 15 | 13 | 73 | 2 | 13.33 |
| Germany | 43 | 12 | 21 | 0 | 0 |
| Ghana | 1 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 100.00 |
| Gibraltar (UK) | 12 | 5 | 15 | 2 | 16.67 |
| Greece | 159 | 45 | 114 | 1 | 0.63 |

Table 4: PORT STATE INSPECTIONS PER FLAG

| | No. of | No. of | No. of | No. of | Detention |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|
| Flag | inspections | inspections | deficiencies | detentions | percentage |
| | | with | | | % |
| | | deficiencies | | | |
| Guinea-Bissau | 5 | 5 | 38 | 1 | 20.00 |
| Guyana | 7 | 7 | 89 | 4 | 57.14 |
| Hong Kong, China | 2,290 | 904 | 2,918 | 31 | 1.35 |
| India | 27 | 14 | 83 | 1 | 3.70 |
| Indonesia | 259 | 190 | 761 | 10 | 3.86 |
| Iran | 17 | 7 | 21 | 0 | 0 |
| Ireland | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Isle of Man (UK) | 105 | 33 | 81 | 1 | 0.95 |
| Italy | 40 | 20 | 76 | 0 | 0 |
| Jamaica | 22 | 22 | 95 | 0 | 0 |
| Japan | 186 | 73 | 208 | 1 | 0.54 |
| Jordan | 1 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| Kiribati | 18 | 16 | 59 | 1 | 5.56 |
| Korea, Republic of | 742 | 412 | 1,400 | 10 | 1.35 |
| Kuwait | 7 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| Liberia | 2,929 | 1,271 | 4,478 | 73 | 2.49 |
| Libya | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lithuania | 1 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 100.00 |
| Luxembourg | 14 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Malaysia | 190 | 109 | 504 | 10 | 5.26 |
| Maldives | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Malta | 682 | 285 | 896 | 8 | 1.17 |
| Marshall Islands | 2,497 | 972 | 3,194 | 49 | 1.96 |
| Moldova | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mongolia | 107 | 101 | 584 | 11 | 10.28 |
| Montenegro | 6 | 4 | 47 | 2 | 33.33 |
| Myanmar | 7 | 6 | 16 | 0 | 0 |
| Nauru | 4 | 4 | 20 | 1 | 25.00 |
| Netherlands | 64 | 31 | 87 | 2 | 3.13 |
| New Zealand | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Niue | 9 | 8 | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| Norway | 193 | 96 | 288 | 2 | 1.04 |
| Pakistan | 6 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Palau | 70 | 66 | 385 | 5 | 7.14 |
| Panama | 6,764 | 3,819 | 13,915 | 243 | 3.59 |
| Papua New Guinea | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Peru | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Philippines | 136 | 50 | 225 | 2 | 1.47 |
| Portugal | 247 | 102 | 276 | 5 | 2.02 |
| Qatar | 8 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Russian Federation | 38 | 28 | 119 | 2 | 5.26 |

| Flag | No. of inspections | No. of inspections with deficiencies | No. of deficiencies | No. of detentions | Detention percentage % |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 4 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 30 | 24 | 114 | 2 | 6.67 |
| Saudi Arabia | 26 | 9 | 26 | 0 | 0 |
| Sierra Leone | 367 | 358 | 2,131 | 35 | 9.54 |
| Singapore | 1,753 | 616 | 2,017 | 30 | 1.71 |
| Spain | 10 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| Sri Lanka | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Sweden | 12 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| Switzerland | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Taiwan, China | 84 | 16 | 92 | 2 | 2.38 |
| Tanzania | 14 | 12 | 121 | 6 | 42.86 |
| Thailand | 195 | 127 | 339 | 2 | 1.03 |
| Тодо | 201 | 190 | 1,244 | 26 | 12.94 |
| Türkiye | 23 | 7 | 19 | 0 | 0 |
| Tuvalu | 93 | 67 | 177 | 2 | 2.15 |
| Ukraine | 5 | 5 | 19 | 1 | 20.00 |
| United Kingdom (UK) | 97 | 42 | 147 | 3 | 3.09 |
| United States of America | 36 | 17 | 53 | 2 | 5.56 |
| Vanuatu | 22 | 11 | 23 | 0 | 0 |
| Viet Nam | 780 | 658 | 1,922 | 15 | 1.92 |
| Total | 24,894 | 12,678 | 46,769 | 725 | Regional 2.91 |

| Type of ship | No. of inspections | No. of inspections with deficiencies | No. of deficiencies | No. of detentions | Detention percentage % |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|
| NLS tanker | 39 | 20 | 91 | 2 | 5.13 |
| Combination carrier | 10 | 6 | 17 | 0 | 0 |
| Oil tanker | 3,104 | 1,107 | 3,930 | 90 | 2.90 |
| Gas carrier | 524 | 180 | 443 | 8 | 1.53 |
| Chemical tanker | 365 | 190 | 613 | 6 | 1.64 |
| Bulk carrier | 9,633 | 4,765 | 17,154 | 247 | 2.56 |
| Vehicle carrier | 661 | 259 | 654 | 6 | 0.91 |
| Container ship | 4,451 | 1,947 | 6,256 | 76 | 1.71 |
| Ro-Ro cargo ship | 84 | 66 | 342 | 7 | 8.33 |
| General cargo/multi-purpose ship | 4,539 | 3,252 | 13,830 | 250 | 5.51 |
| Refrigerated cargo carrier | 320 | 190 | 639 | 7 | 2.19 |
| Woodchip carrier | 249 | 114 | 350 | 5 | 2.01 |
| Livestock carrier | 43 | 28 | 134 | 0 | 0 |
| Ro-Ro passenger ship | 58 | 54 | 207 | 1 | 1.72 |
| Passenger ship | 175 | 93 | 307 | 0 | 0 |
| Factory ship | 2 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 50.00 |
| Heavy load carrier | 47 | 24 | 72 | 1 | 2.13 |
| Offshore service vessel | 101 | 32 | 125 | 1 | 0.99 |
| MODU & FPSO | 4 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| High speed passenger craft | 26 | 18 | 66 | 0 | 0 |
| Special purpose ship | 46 | 28 | 137 | 3 | 6.52 |
| Tugboat | 199 | 136 | 536 | 6 | 3.02 |
| Others | 214 | 165 | 850 | 8 | 3.74 |
| Total | 24,894 | 12,678 | 46,769 | 725 | 2.91 |

Table 5: PORT STATE INSPECTIONS PER SHIP TYPE
Table 6: PORT STATE INSPECTIONS PER RECOGNIZED ORGANIZATION

| Recognized organization (RO) | No. of overall inspections | No. of overall detentions | No. of RO responsible detentions | Detention percentage% | RO responsible detention percentage% | Percentage of RO responsible detentions% |
|---|----------------------------|------------------------------|--|--------------------------|--|--|
| Aegean Register of Shipping | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Alpha Ship Classification | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| American Bureau of Shipping | 3,166 | 67 | 3 | 2.12 | 0.09 | 4.48 |
| Asia Classification Society | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Asia Shipping Certification Services | 59 | 11 | 4 | 18.64 | 6.78 | 36.36 |
| Azure Naval Architects BV | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Biro Klasifikasi Indonesia | 210 | 8 | 1 | 3.81 | 0.48 | 12.50 |
| Bolivian Register of Shipping | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bulgarski Koraben Registar | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bureau Veritas | 3,383 | 104 | 3 | 3.07 | 0.09 | 2.88 |
| China Classification Society | 2,466 | 36 | 1 | 1.46 | 0.04 | 2.78 |
| Columbus American Register | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cosmos Marine Bureau | 150 | 17 | 1 | 11.33 | 0.67 | 5.88 |
| CR Classification Society | 149 | 3 | 1 | 2.01 | 0.67 | 33.33 |
| Croatian Register of Shipping | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cyprus Bureau of Shipping | 5 | 1 | 0 | 20.00 | 0 | 0 |
| DNV AS | 6,166 | 125 | 3 | 2.03 | 0.05 | 2.40 |
| Dromon Bureau of Shipping | 87 | 7 | 0 | 8.05 | 0 | 0 |
| Foresight Ship Classification | 58 | 7 | 3 | 12.07 | 5.17 | 42.86 |
| Global Marine Bureau | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hellas Naval Bureau of Shipping S.M.P.C | 4 | 1 | 0 | 25.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Hellenic Register of Shipping | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Horizon International of Naval Surveying | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| and Inspection Bureau, S.A. | | | | | | |
| Indian Register of Shipping | 83 | 4 | 0 | 4.82 | 0 | 0 |
| Intermaritime Certification Services, S.A. | 887 | 61 | 4 | 6.88 | 0.45 | 6.56 |
| International Marine Survey Association | 3 | 1 | 0 | 33.33 | 0 | 0 |
| International Maritime Register | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| International Naval Surveys Bureau | 15 | 3 | 0 | 20.00 | 0 | 0 |
| International Register of Shipping | 110 | 9 | 0 | 8.18 | 0 | 0 |
| International Ship Classification | 42 | 3 | 0 | 7.14 | 0 | 0 |
| Iranian Classification Society | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Isthmus Bureau of Shipping | 433 | 31 | 2 | 7.16 | 0.46 | 6.45 |
| Isthmus Maritime Classification Society S.A. | 5 | 2 | 0 | 40.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Korea Classification Society (former Joson Classification Society) | 20 | 1 | 0 | 5.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Korea Maritime Transportation Safety Authority | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Recognized organization (RO) | No. of overall inspections | No. of overall detentions | No. of RO responsible detentions | Detention percentage% | RO responsible detention percentage% | Percentage of RO responsible detentions% |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--------------------------|--|--|
| Korea Ship Safety Technology Authority | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KOREAN REGISTER | 2,254 | 51 | 6 | 2.26 | 0.27 | 11.76 |
| Limdal Marine Services | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lloyd's Register | 3,655 | 68 | 3 | 1.86 | 0.08 | 4.41 |
| Macosnar Corporation | 27 | 2 | 0 | 7.41 | 0 | 0 |
| Maritime Bureau of Shipping | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Maritime Technical Systems and Services | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| National Shipping Adjusters Inc | 11 | 2 | 0 | 18.18 | 0 | 0 |
| Nautx, Ltd | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| New United International Marine Services Ltd | 43 | 5 | 0 | 11.63 | 0 | 0 |
| Nippon Kaiji Kyokai | 8,755 | 182 | 17 | 2.08 | 0.19 | 9.34 |
| Novel Classification Society S.A. | 7 | 2 | 0 | 28.57 | 0 | 0 |
| Overseas Marine Certification Services | 597 | 42 | 8 | 7.04 | 1.34 | 19.05 |
| Panama Maritime Documentation Services | 528 | 35 | 4 | 6.63 | 0.76 | 11.43 |
| Panama Shipping Registrar Inc. | 35 | 3 | 0 | 8.57 | 0 | 0 |
| Phoenix Register of Shipping | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Polski Rejestr Statkow | 60 | 6 | 1 | 10.00 | 1.67 | 16.67 |
| Qualitas Register of Shipping S.A. | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RINA Services S.p.A. | 1,287 | 54 | 3 | 4.20 | 0.23 | 5.56 |
| RINAVE Portuguesa | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Royal Bureau of Shipping | 2 | 1 | 0 | 50.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Russian Maritime Register of Shipping | 127 | 6 | 0 | 4.72 | 0 | 0 |
| Russian River Register | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ship Classification Malaysia | 18 | 1 | 1 | 5.56 | 5.56 | 100.00 |
| Shipping Register of Ukraine | 5 | 1 | 0 | 20.00 | 0 | 0 |
| SingClass International Pte Ltd | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sing-Lloyd | 18 | 1 | 1 | 5.56 | 5.56 | 100.00 |
| Union Bureau of Shipping | 186 | 23 | 4 | 12.37 | 2.15 | 17.39 |
| United Maritime Survey | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Universal Maritime Bureau | 175 | 18 | 5 | 10.29 | 2.86 | 27.78 |
| Vega Register Inc. | 6 | 1 | 0 | 16.67 | 0 | 0 |
| Veritas Register of Shipping | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vietnam Register | 810 | 15 | 2 | 1.85 | 0.25 | 13.33 |
| Other | 84 | 15 | 3 | 17.86 | 3.57 | 20.00 |

Note: The number of overall inspections and overall detentions is calculated corresponding to each recognized organization (RO) that issued statutory certificate(s) for a ship. In case that ship's certificates were issued by more than one ROs, the inspection and detention would be counted to each of them.

Table 7: DEFICIENCIES BY CATEGORIES

| Nature of deficiencies | | No. of deficiencies |
|---------------------------------|---|---------------------|
| | Crew Certificates | 877 |
| Certificate & Documentation | Documents | 2,571 |
| | Ship Certificates | 1,038 |
| Structural Conditions | | 1,454 |
| Water/Weathertight conditions | | 3,092 |
| Emergency Systems | | 3,418 |
| Radio Communications | | 964 |
| Cargo operations including equi | pment | 488 |
| Fire safety | | 7,107 |
| Alarms | | 367 |
| Safety of Navigation | | 6,405 |
| Life saving appliances | | 5,918 |
| Dangerous goods | | 48 |
| Propulsion and auxiliary machin | ery | 2,337 |
| | Living Conditions | 323 |
| Working and Living Conditions | Working Conditions | 1,412 |
| | Minimum requirements for seafarers | 22 |
| | Conditions of employment | 385 |
| Labour Conditions | Accommodation, recreational facilities, food and catering | 1,245 |
| | Health protection, medical care, social security | 2,647 |
| | Anti Fouling | 7 |
| | Ballast Water | 686 |
| | MARPOL Annex I | 766 |
| Dollution provention | MARPOL Annex II | 18 |
| Pollution prevention | MARPOL Annex III | 9 |
| | MARPOL Annex IV | 490 |
| | MARPOL Annex V | 1,003 |
| | MARPOL Annex VI | 312 |
| ISM | | 988 |
| Other | | 372 |
| Total | | 46,769 |
| ISPS | | 802 |
| Grand total | | 47,571 |

SUMMARY OF PORT STATE INSPECTION DATA 2020 – 2022

Table 8: BLACK – GREY – WHITE LISTS *

| Flag | Inspections 2020-2022 | Detentions 2020-2022 | Black to Grey Limit | Grey to White Limit | Excess Factor |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| | BL | ACK LIST | | | |
| Dominica Mongolia Togo Sierra Leone | 31 240 630 896 | 8 31 66 80 | 5 24 55 76 | | 3.32 1.86 1.52 1.14 |
| | G | REY LIST | | | |
| Cook Islands Gibraltar (UK) Palau Kiribati Belize India Qatar Croatia Jamaica Saint Vincent and the Grenadines Belgium Russian Federation Barbados Antigua and Barbuda United States of America | 78 49 156 51 1,981 91 30 48 53 83 65 138 73 450 78 | 6 3 10 3 134 5 1 2 2 3 2 3 2 6 2 2 4 2 | 10 7 17 7 158 11 5 7 7 7 10 8 15 9 41 | 1 0 5 0 119 2 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 4 1 22 1 | 0.56 0.44 0.42 0.38 0.35 0.30 0.30 0.26 0.18 0.17 0.16 0.12 0.10 0.09 |
| Netherlands | 171 | 6 | 18 | 6 | 0.09 |
| | W | HITE LIST | | | |
| Niue Iran Luxembourg Saudi Arabia Italy Indonesia Türkiye | 32 36 44 59 141 550 71 | 0 0 0 3 21 0 | 5 6 8 15 49 9 | -1 0 0 4 28 1 | 0 0 -0.40 -0.45 -0.50 -0.71 |
| France Bangladesh Malaysia Vanuatu United Kingdom (UK) Bermuda (UK) | 72 257 459 79 277 83 | 0 6 13 0 6 0 | 9 25 42 10 27 10 | 1 11 23 1 12 1 | -0.73 -0.77 -0.82 -0.86 -0.88 -0.93 |

| Flag | Inspections 2020-2022 | Detentions 2020-2022 | Black to Grey Limit | Grey to White Limit | Excess Factor |
|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| Philippines | 334 | 6 | 32 | 15 | -1.14 |
| Taiwan, China | 273 | 4 | 27 | 12 | -1.20 |
| Tuvalu | 273 | 4 | 27 | 12 | -1.20 |
| Panama | 18,332 | 527 | 1341 | 1226 | -1.31 |
| Denmark | 389 | 6 | 36 | 18 | -1.32 |
| Greece | 488 | 8 | 44 | 24 | -1.35 |
| Liberia | 7,513 | 196 | 563 | 489 | -1.36 |
| Germany | 115 | 0 | 13 | 3 | -1.37 |
| Portugal | 630 | 11 | 55 | 33 | -1.38 |
| Cyprus | 1,011 | 19 | 85 | 57 | -1.42 |
| Bahamas | 1,413 | 28 | 115 | 83 | -1.44 |
| Isle of Man (UK) | 343 | 4 | 32 | 16 | -1.44 |
| Thailand | 585 | 9 | 52 | 30 | -1.45 |
| Norway | 548 | 8 | 49 | 28 | -1.46 |
| Cayman Islands (UK) | 196 | 1 | 20 | 7 | -1.50 |
| Marshall Islands | 6,865 | 145 | 516 | 445 | -1.53 |
| Viet Nam | 1,935 | 33 | 154 | 116 | -1.58 |
| Malta | 2,106 | 36 | 167 | 128 | -1.59 |
| Japan | 477 | 4 | 43 | 24 | -1.70 |
| Korea, Republic of | 1,944 | 27 | 155 | 117 | -1.70 |
| Hong Kong, China | 6,783 | 97 | 510 | 440 | -1.77 |
| Singapore | 4,731 | 62 | 361 | 302 | -1.80 |
| China | 1,745 | 8 | 140 | 104 | -2.21 |

<u>Note</u>: 1) Flags listed above are those of ships which were involved in 30 or more port State inspections over the 3-year period.

According to the decision by the Port State Control Committee, flags involving 30-49 port State inspections with nil detentions are listed on top of the White List.

- * See explanatory note on page 53. p=7% z_{95%}=1.645
 - q=3%

| | Nu | mber of | inspectio | ons | Nu | umber of | detentio | ns | 3-year |
|----------------------------------|------|---------|-----------|-------|------|----------|----------|-------|--------------------------------------|
| Flag | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | Total | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | Total | rolling average detention % |
| Algeria | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 143 | 142 | 165 | 450 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 24 | 5.33 |
| Argentina | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Australia | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 50.00 |
| Bahamas | 417 | 441 | 555 | 1,413 | 11 | 8 | 9 | 28 | 1.98 |
| Bahrain | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Bangladesh | 88 | 93 | 76 | 257 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 2.33 |
| Barbados | 24 | 18 | 31 | 73 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2.74 |
| Belgium | 25 | 16 | 24 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3.08 |
| Belize | 533 | 674 | 774 | 1,981 | 26 | 39 | 69 | 134 | 6.76 |
| Bermuda (UK) | 30 | 24 | 29 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bolivia | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 20.00 |
| Brazil | 1 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Brunei Darussalam | 2 | 4 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cambodia | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cameroon | 6 | 7 | 15 | 28 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 17.86 |
| Cayman Islands (UK) | 77 | 68 | 51 | 196 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.51 |
| Chile | 9 | 4 | 10 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| China | 405 | 523 | 817 | 1,745 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 0.46 |
| Colombia | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Comoros | 3 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 33.33 |
| Cook Islands | 27 | 24 | 27 | 78 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 7.69 |
| Croatia | 24 | 17 | 7 | 48 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4.17 |
| Curacao | 9 | 5 | 9 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cyprus | 314 | 340 | 357 | 1,011 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 19 | 1.88 |
| Denmark | 83 | 141 | 165 | 389 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1.54 |
| Djibouti | 1 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 18.18 |
| Dominica | 11 | 10 | 10 | 31 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 25.81 |
| Ecuador | 3 | 5 | 5 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15.38 |
| Egypt | 2 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12.50 |
| Equatorial Guinea | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ethiopia | 2 | 1 | 9 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 16.67 |
| Falkland Islands (UK) (Malvinas) | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Faroe Islands (Denmark) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fiji | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 9: INSPECTIONS AND DETENTIONS PER FLAG

| | Nu | mber of | inspectio | ons | Nu | umber of | detentio | ns | 3-year |
|-------------------------------------|-------|---------|-----------|-------|------|----------|----------|-------|--------------------------------------|
| Flag | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | Total | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | Total | rolling average detention % |
| Finland | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| France | 19 | 24 | 29 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gabon | 4 | 5 | 15 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 8.33 |
| Germany | 34 | 38 | 43 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ghana | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 100.00 |
| Gibraltar (UK) | 19 | 18 | 12 | 49 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6.12 |
| Greece | 169 | 160 | 159 | 488 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 1.64 |
| Guinea-Bissau | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 20.00 |
| Guyana | 0 | 3 | 7 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 50.00 |
| Honduras | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hong Kong, China | 1,907 | 2,586 | 2,290 | 6,783 | 35 | 31 | 31 | 97 | 1.43 |
| India | 33 | 31 | 27 | 91 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 5.49 |
| Indonesia | 151 | 140 | 259 | 550 | 6 | 5 | 10 | 21 | 3.82 |
| Iran | 6 | 13 | 17 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ireland | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Isle of Man (UK) | 95 | 143 | 105 | 343 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1.17 |
| Israel | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Italy | 57 | 44 | 40 | 141 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2.13 |
| Jamaica | 16 | 15 | 22 | 53 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3.77 |
| Japan | 143 | 148 | 186 | 477 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0.84 |
| Jordan | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kiribati | 17 | 16 | 18 | 51 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5.88 |
| Korea, Democratic People's Republic | 13 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 14.29 |
| Korea, Republic of | 558 | 644 | 742 | 1,944 | 6 | 11 | 10 | 27 | 1.39 |
| Kuwait | 5 | 7 | 7 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Liberia | 2,072 | 2,512 | 2,929 | 7,513 | 66 | 57 | 73 | 196 | 2.61 |
| Libya | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lithuania | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 100.00 |
| Luxembourg | 14 | 16 | 14 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Malaysia | 126 | 143 | 190 | 459 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 13 | 2.83 |
| Maldives | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Malta | 698 | 726 | 682 | 2,106 | 15 | 13 | 8 | 36 | 1.71 |
| Marshall Islands | 2,015 | 2,353 | 2,497 | 6,865 | 49 | 47 | 49 | 145 | 2.11 |
| Mauritius | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Micronesia, Federated States of | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 50.00 |
| Moldova | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | Nu | mber of | inspectio | ons | Nu | Imber of | detentio | ns | 3-year |
|----------------------------------|-------|---------|-----------|--------|------|----------|----------|-------|--------------------------------------|
| Flag | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | Total | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | Total | rolling average detention % |
| Mongolia | 69 | 64 | 107 | 240 | 5 | 15 | 11 | 31 | 12.92 |
| Montenegro | 5 | 6 | 6 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 11.76 |
| Myanmar | 5 | 5 | 7 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5.88 |
| Nauru | 3 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 14.29 |
| Netherlands | 52 | 55 | 64 | 171 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 3.51 |
| New Zealand | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Niue | 8 | 15 | 9 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Norway | 167 | 188 | 193 | 548 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 1.46 |
| Pakistan | 4 | 6 | 6 | 16 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 12.50 |
| Palau | 35 | 51 | 70 | 156 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 6.41 |
| Panama | 5,373 | 6,195 | 6,764 | 18,332 | 139 | 145 | 243 | 527 | 2.87 |
| Papua New Guinea | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peru | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 20.00 |
| Philippines | 91 | 107 | 136 | 334 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 1.80 |
| Portugal | 173 | 210 | 247 | 630 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 1.75 |
| Qatar | 10 | 12 | 8 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3.33 |
| Russian Federation | 74 | 26 | 38 | 138 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 4.35 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 2 | 5 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 9.09 |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 28 | 25 | 30 | 83 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3.61 |
| Samoa | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Saudi Arabia | 14 | 19 | 26 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sierra Leone | 218 | 311 | 367 | 896 | 16 | 29 | 35 | 80 | 8.93 |
| Singapore | 1,388 | 1,590 | 1,753 | 4,731 | 17 | 15 | 30 | 62 | 1.31 |
| Solomon Islands | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| South Africa | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spain | 4 | 6 | 10 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 |
| Sri Lanka | 2 | 6 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 9.09 |
| Sweden | 3 | 8 | 12 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Switzerland | 9 | 9 | 5 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Taiwan, China | 94 | 95 | 84 | 273 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1.47 |
| Tanzania | 1 | 7 | 14 | 22 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 50.00 |
| Thailand | 202 | 188 | 195 | 585 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 9 | 1.54 |
| Тодо | 218 | 211 | 201 | 630 | 17 | 23 | 26 | 66 | 10.48 |
| Türkiye | 25 | 23 | 23 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tuvalu | 87 | 93 | 93 | 273 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1.47 |
| Ukraine | 3 | 5 | 5 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 23.08 |

| | Nu | Number of inspections | | | | Number of detentions | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|-----------------------|--------|--------|------|----------------------|------|-------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Flag | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | Total | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | Total | rolling average detention % | |
| | 70 | 100 | 07 | 077 | | | 0 | | 0.47 | |
| United Kingdom (UK) | 78 | 102 | 97 | 277 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 2.17 | |
| United States of America | 17 | 25 | 36 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2.56 | |
| Vanuatu | 28 | 29 | 22 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Viet Nam | 495 | 660 | 780 | 1,935 | 6 | 12 | 15 | 33 | 1.71 | |
| Ship's registration withdrawn | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100.00 | |
| Total | 19,416 | 22,732 | 24,894 | 67,042 | 493 | 526 | 725 | 1,744 | 2.60 | |



Figure 15: COMPARISON OF INSPECTIONS PER SHIP TYPE

Figure 16: COMPARISON OF DETENTIONS PER SHIP TYPE



Table 10: INSPECTIONS AND DETENTIONS PER SHIP TYPE

| | N | umber of | inspectio | ns | N | umber of | detentior | IS | Average detention |
|----------------------------------|--------|----------|-----------|--------|------|----------|-----------|-------|-------------------|
| Type of ship | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | Total | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | Total | percentage % |
| NLS tanker | 56 | 61 | 39 | 156 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2.56 |
| Combination carrier | 14 | 22 | 10 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oil tanker | 980 | 1,823 | 3,104 | 5,907 | 21 | 43 | 90 | 154 | 2.61 |
| Gas carrier | 403 | 434 | 524 | 1,361 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 17 | 1.25 |
| Chemical tanker | 1,641 | 1,158 | 365 | 3,164 | 25 | 15 | 6 | 46 | 1.45 |
| Bulk carrier | 8,250 | 9,675 | 9,633 | 27,558 | 231 | 218 | 247 | 696 | 2.53 |
| Vehicle carrier | 465 | 495 | 661 | 1,621 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 17 | 1.05 |
| Container ship | 3,097 | 3,896 | 4,451 | 11,444 | 41 | 52 | 76 | 169 | 1.48 |
| Ro-Ro cargo ship | 60 | 61 | 84 | 205 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 14 | 6.83 |
| General cargo/multi-purpose ship | 3,405 | 3,943 | 4,539 | 11,887 | 137 | 151 | 250 | 538 | 4.53 |
| Refrigerated cargo carrier | 287 | 233 | 320 | 840 | 8 | 11 | 7 | 26 | 3.10 |
| Woodchip carrier | 174 | 231 | 249 | 654 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 1.68 |
| Livestock carrier | 38 | 45 | 43 | 126 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 3.97 |
| Ro-Ro Passenger ship | 37 | 55 | 58 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.67 |
| Passenger ship | 98 | 38 | 175 | 311 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1.29 |
| Factory ship | 2 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 37.50 |
| Heavy load carrier | 63 | 77 | 47 | 187 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 4.28 |
| Offshore service vessel | 50 | 83 | 101 | 234 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1.28 |
| MODU & FPSO | 2 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| High speed passenger craft | 11 | 4 | 26 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Special purpose ship | 31 | 44 | 46 | 121 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 3.31 |
| Tugboat | 134 | 142 | 199 | 475 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 2.11 |
| Others | 118 | 207 | 214 | 539 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 14 | 2.60 |
| Total | 19,416 | 22,732 | 24,894 | 67,042 | 493 | 526 | 725 | 1,744 | 2.60 |



Figure 17: COMPARISON OF INSPECTIONS WITH DEFICIENCIES PER SHIP TYPE

* % over [+] or under [-] average

| | N | umber of | inspectio | ns | N | 3-year average | | | |
|--------------------------------|--------|----------|-----------|--------|-------|-------------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Type of ship | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | Total | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | Total | percentage % |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Oil tanker/combination carrier | 1,050 | 1,906 | 3,153 | 6,109 | 377 | 664 | 1,133 | 2,174 | 35.59 |
| Gas carrier | 403 | 434 | 524 | 1,361 | 134 | 175 | 180 | 489 | 35.93 |
| Chemical tanker | 1,641 | 1,158 | 365 | 3,164 | 557 | 452 | 190 | 1,199 | 37.90 |
| Bulk carrier | 8,250 | 9,675 | 9,633 | 27,558 | 4,167 | 4,937 | 4,765 | 13,869 | 50.33 |
| Ro-ro/container/vehicle ship | 3,622 | 4,452 | 5,196 | 13,270 | 1,477 | 1,791 | 2,272 | 5,540 | 41.75 |
| General dry cargo ship | 3,405 | 3,943 | 4,539 | 11,887 | 2,460 | 2,866 | 3,252 | 8,578 | 72.16 |
| Refrigerated cargo carrier | 287 | 233 | 320 | 840 | 155 | 142 | 190 | 487 | 57.98 |
| Passenger ship | 135 | 93 | 233 | 461 | 109 | 75 | 147 | 331 | 71.80 |
| Other types | 623 | 838 | 931 | 2,392 | 327 | 465 | 549 | 1,341 | 56.06 |
| Total | 19,416 | 22,732 | 24,894 | 67,042 | 9,763 | 11,567 | 12,678 | 34,008 | 50.73 |

Table 11: INSPECTIONS WITH DEFICIENCIES PER SHIP TYPE

Table 12: INSPECTIONS AND DETENTIONS PER RECOGNIZED ORGANIZATION

| Recognized organization (RO) | No. of overall inspections 2020-2022 | No. of overall detentions 2020-2022 | No. of RO responsible detentions 2020-2022 | 3-year average detention percentage% | 3-year average RO responsible detention percentage% | 3-year average percentage of RO responsible detentions% |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Aegean Register of Shipping | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Alpha Ship Classification | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| American Bureau of Shipping | 8,669 | 171 | 3 | 1.97 | 0.03 | 1.75 |
| Asia Classification Society | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Asia Shipping Certification Services | 104 | 14 | 5 | 13.46 | 4.81 | 35.71 |
| Azure Naval Architects BV | 5 | 1 | 0 | 20.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Biro Klasifikasi Indonesia | 426 | 16 | 1 | 3.76 | 0.23 | 6.25 |
| Bolivian Register of Shipping | 4 | 1 | 0 | 25.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Bulgarski Koraben Registar | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bureau Veritas | 9,177 | 248 | 8 | 2.70 | 0.09 | 3.23 |
| China Classification Society | 6,246 | 86 | 1 | 1.38 | 0.02 | 1.16 |
| Columbus American Register | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cosmos Marine Bureau | 390 | 39 | 1 | 10.00 | 0.26 | 2.56 |
| CR Classification Society | 435 | 6 | 1 | 1.38 | 0.23 | 16.67 |
| Croatian Register of Shipping | 90 | 3 | 0 | 3.33 | 0 | 0 |
| Cyprus Bureau of Shipping | 16 | 1 | 0 | 6.25 | 0 | 0 |
| Danforth Marinesurvey & Certification Services | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DNV AS | 16,178 | 328 | 5 | 2.03 | 0.03 | 1.52 |
| Dromon Bureau of Shipping | 246 | 13 | 2 | 5.28 | 0.81 | 15.38 |
| Emirates Classification Society TASNEEF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Foresight Ship Classification | 113 | 9 | 4 | 7.96 | 3.54 | 44.44 |
| Global Marine Bureau | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hellas Naval Bureau of Shipping S.M.P.C | 6 | 2 | 0 | 33.33 | 0 | 0 |
| Hellenic Register of Shipping | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Honduras International Surveying and Inspection | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bureau | | | | | | |
| Horizon International of Naval Surveying and | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Inspection Bureau, S.A. | | | | | | |
| Indian Register of Shipping | 167 | 9 | 0 | 5.39 | 0 | 0 |
| Intermaritime Certification Services, S.A. | 2,156 | 116 | 11 | 5.38 | 0.51 | 9.48 |
| International Marine Survey Association | 4 | 2 | 0 | 50.00 | 0 | 0 |
| International Maritime Register | 38 | 1 | 0 | 2.63 | 0 | 0 |
| International Naval Surveys Bureau | 43 | 7 | 0 | 16.28 | 0 | 0 |
| International Register of Shipping | 305 | 21 | 0 | 6.89 | 0 | 0 |
| International Ship Classification | 131 | 6 | 0 | 4.58 | 0 | 0 |
| Iranian Classification Society | 45 | 2 | 0 | 4.44 | 0 | 0 |
| Isthmus Bureau of Shipping | 1,049 | 51 | 2 | 4.86 | 0.19 | 3.92 |
| Isthmus Maritime Classification Society S.A. | 8 | 2 | 0 | 25.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Korea Classification Society (former Joson | 77 | 3 | 0 | 3.90 | 0 | 0 |
| Classification Society) | | | | | | |
| Korea Maritime Transportation Safety Authority | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Korea Ship Safety Technology Authority | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KOREAN REGISTER | 6,037 | 121 | 13 | 2.00 | 0.22 | 10.74 |
| Limdal Marine Services | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lloyd's Register | 10,008 | 204 | 13 | 2.04 | 0.13 | 6.37 |
| M&P Surveyors, S. de R.L. de C.V. | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macosnar Corporation | 93 | 2 | 0 | 2.15 | 0 | 0 |

| Recognized organization (RO) | No. of overall inspections 2020-2022 | No. of overall detentions 2020-2022 | No. of RO responsible detentions 2020-2022 | 3-year average detention percentage% | 3-year average RO responsible detention percentage% | 3-year average percentage of RO responsible detentions% |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Maritime Bureau of Shipping | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Maritime Lloyd Ltd, Georgia | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Maritime Technical Systems and Services | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| National Shipping Adjusters Inc | 46 | 2 | 0 | 4.35 | 0 | 0 |
| Nautx, Ltd | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| New United International Marine Services Ltd | 122 | 8 | 0 | 6.56 | 0 | 0 |
| Nippon Kaiji Kyokai | 24,644 | 476 | 28 | 1.93 | 0.11 | 5.88 |
| Novel Classification Society S.A. | 15 | 4 | 0 | 26.67 | 0 | 0 |
| Overseas Marine Certification Services | 1,356 | 76 | 9 | 5.60 | 0.66 | 11.84 |
| Panama Maritime Documentation Services | 1,319 | 76 | 6 | 5.76 | 0.45 | 7.89 |
| Panama Shipping Registrar Inc. | 111 | 8 | 0 | 7.21 | 0 | 0 |
| Phoenix Register of Shipping | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Polski Rejestr Statkow | 149 | 10 | 2 | 6.71 | 1.34 | 20.00 |
| Qualitas Register of Shipping S.A. | 73 | 1 | 0 | 1.37 | 0 | 0 |
| Registro Brasileiro de Navios de Aeronaves | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RINA Services S.p.A. | 2,998 | 94 | 3 | 3.14 | 0.10 | 3.19 |
| RINAVE Portuguesa | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Royal Bureau of Shipping | 3 | 2 | 1 | 66.67 | 33.33 | 50.00 |
| RS Classification Services MON IKE | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Russian Maritime Register of Shipping | 425 | 15 | 0 | 3.53 | 0 | 0 |
| Russian River Register | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ship Classification Malaysia | 30 | 1 | 1 | 3.33 | 3.33 | 100.00 |
| Shipping Register of Ukraine | 13 | 3 | 0 | 23.08 | 0 | 0 |
| SingClass International Pte Ltd | 48 | 3 | 0 | 6.25 | 0 | 0 |
| Sing-Lloyd | 66 | 7 | 1 | 10.61 | 1.52 | 14.29 |
| Union Bureau of Shipping | 534 | 71 | 11 | 13.30 | 2.06 | 15.49 |
| United Maritime Survey | 2 | 1 | 0 | 50.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Universal Maritime Bureau | 442 | 36 | 5 | 8.14 | 1.13 | 13.89 |
| Universal Shipping Bureau | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vega Register Inc. | 9 | 1 | 0 | 11.11 | 0 | 0 |
| Veritas Register of Shipping | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vietnam Register | 2,020 | 35 | 6 | 1.73 | 0.30 | 17.14 |
| Other | 136 | 28 | 3 | 20.59 | 2.21 | 10.71 |

See also the note in page 32.

| Recognized organization (RO) | No. of overall inspections 2020-2022 | No. of RO responsible detentions 2020-2022 | Low/medium Limit | Medium/high Limit | Excess factor | Performance level |
|--|--|---|---------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Asia Shipping Certification Services | 104 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 1.05 | Low |
| Foresight Ship Classification | 113 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0.80 | |
| Union Bureau of Shipping | 534 | 11 | 17 | 5 | 0.53 | |
| Sing-Lloyd | 66 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0.43 | Medium |
| Polski Rejestr Statkow | 149 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0.35 | weatum |
| Dromon Bureau of Shipping | 246 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 0.14 | |
| Universal Maritime Bureau | 442 | 5 | 14 | 3 | 0.14 | |
| Cosmos Marine Bureau | 390 | 1 | 13 | 3 | -0.68 | |
| Biro Klasifikasi Indonesia | 426 | 1 | 14 | 3 | -0.79 | |
| CR Classification Society | 435 | 1 | 14 | 3 | -0.81 | |
| Overseas Marine Certification Services | 1,356 | 9 | 36 | 18 | -0.82 | |
| International Register of Shipping | 305 | 0 | 11 | 2 | -0.84 | |
| Panama Maritime Documentation Services | 1,319 | 6 | 35 | 18 | -1.10 | |
| Intermaritime Certification Services, S.A. | 2,156 | 11 | 54 | 32 | -1.18 | |
| Russian Maritime Register of Shipping | 425 | 0 | 14 | 3 | -1.43 | |
| Vietnam Register | 2,020 | 6 | 51 | 30 | -1.49 | Llinda |
| Isthmus Bureau of Shipping | 1,049 | 2 | 29 | 13 | -1.52 | High |
| KOREAN REGISTER | 6,037 | 13 | 139 | 102 | -1.72 | |
| RINA Services S.p.A. | 2,998 | 3 | 73 | 47 | -1.83 | |
| Lloyd's Register | 10,008 | 13 | 224 | 177 | -1.84 | |
| Nippon Kaiji Kyokai | 24,644 | 28 | 530 | 456 | -1.87 | |
| Bureau Veritas | 9,177 | 8 | 206 | 161 | -1.89 | |
| American Bureau of Shipping | 8,669 | 3 | 195 | 151 | -1.95 | |
| DNV AS | 16,178 | 5 | 353 | 294 | -1.96 | |
| China Classification Society | 6,246 | 1 | 144 | 106 | -1.97 | |

Table 13: PERFORMANCE OF RECOGNIZED ORGANIZATION

- <u>Note</u>: 1) In this table, only recognized organizations (RO) that had more than 60 inspections are taken into account. The formula used is identical to the one used for the Black-Grey-White List. However, the values for P and Q are adjusted to P=2% and Q=1%.
 - 2) ROs involving 60-179 inspections with zero detention are not included in this table.



Figure 18: COMPARISON OF NUMBER OF DEFICIENCIES BY MAIN CATEGORIES

| | | Number of deficiencies | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|------------------------|--------|--------|--|--|
| Nature of deficiency | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | | | |
| | Crew Certificates | 765 | 803 | 877 | | |
| Certificate & Documentation | Documents | 1,427 | 1,955 | 2,571 | | |
| | Ship Certificates | 601 | 880 | 1,038 | | |
| Structural Conditions | | 1,109 | 1,368 | 1,454 | | |
| Water/Weathertight conditions | | 2,457 | 2,561 | 3,092 | | |
| Emergency Systems | | 2,278 | 2,897 | 3,418 | | |
| Radio Communications | | 578 | 831 | 964 | | |
| Cargo operations including | | 403 | 436 | 488 | | |
| Fire safety | | 5,902 | 5,929 | 7,107 | | |
| Alarms | | 259 | 254 | 367 | | |
| Safety of Navigation | | 3,681 | 4,743 | 6,405 | | |
| Life saving appliances | | 4,177 | 5,192 | 5,918 | | |
| Dangerous goods | | 36 | 38 | 48 | | |
| Propulsion and auxiliary | | 2,073 | 2,050 | 2,337 | | |
| | Living Conditions | 303 | 380 | 323 | | |
| Working and Living Conditions | Working Conditions | 1,311 | 1,321 | 1,412 | | |
| | Minimum requirements for seafarers | 37 | 34 | 22 | | |
| | Conditions of employment | 523 | 441 | 385 | | |
| Labour Conditions | Accommodation, recreational facilities, food and catering | 1,032 | 1,221 | 1,245 | | |
| | Health protection, medical care, social security | 2,090 | 2,376 | 2,647 | | |
| | Anti Fouling | 6 | 13 | 7 | | |
| | Ballast Water | 384 | 563 | 686 | | |
| | MARPOL Annex I | 723 | 712 | 766 | | |
| | MARPOL Annex II | 11 | 7 | 18 | | |
| Pollution prevention | MARPOL Annex III | 11 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | MARPOL Annex IV | 456 | 366 | 490 | | |
| | MARPOL Annex V | 745 | 920 | 1,003 | | |
| | MARPOL Annex VI | 372 | 323 3 | | | |
| ISM | | 871 | 899 | 988 | | |
| Other | | 303 | 316 | 372 | | |
| Total | | 34,924 | 39,838 | 46,769 | | |
| ISPS | | 623 | 632 | 802 | | |
| Grand total | | 35,547 | 40,470 | 47,571 | | |

Table 14: COMPARISON OF DEFICIENCIES BY CATEGORIES

ISM (ISM) Maintenance of the ship and equipment (ISM) Fire-dampers (Fire safety) Ventilation (Fire safety) Fire pumps and its pipes (Fire safety) Lifeboats (Life saving appliances) Emergency source of power - Emergency generator (Emergency systems) Fire doors/openings in fire-resisting divisions (Fire safety) Fire detection and alarm system (Fire safety) Sewage treatment plant (Pollution prevention)

Figure 19: COMPARISON OF MOST FREQUENT DETAINABLE DEFICIENCIES

Table 15: COMPARISON OF MOST FREQUENT DETAINABLE DEFICIENCIES

| No. | Most frequent deficiencies | | Year | | |
|-----|---|----|------|------|--|
| NO. | | | 2021 | 2022 | |
| 1 | ISM (ISM) | 85 | 76 | 140 | |
| 2 | Maintenance of the ship and equipment (ISM) | 43 | 38 | 88 | |
| 3 | Fire-dampers (Fire safety) | 48 | 50 | 76 | |
| 4 | Ventilation (Fire safety) | 15 | 12 | 73 | |
| 5 | Fire pumps and its pipes (Fire safety) | 17 | 16 | 67 | |
| 6 | Lifeboats (Life saving appliances) | 50 | 46 | 56 | |
| 7 | Emergency source of power - Emergency generator (Emergency systems) | 54 | 32 | 52 | |
| 8 | Fire doors/openings in fire-resisting divisions (Fire safety) | 33 | 12 | 51 | |
| 9 | Fire detection and alarm system (Fire safety) | 24 | 32 | 47 | |
| 10 | Sewage treatment plant (Pollution prevention) | 37 | 29 | 46 | |

Table 16: LIST OF UNDER-PERFORMING SHIPS

| IMO No. | Ship name (At the day of detention) | Flag | IMO company No. | No. of times on the list |
|----------------------|--|------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| 8653085 | EN JI 17 | Belize | 6189761 | 6 |
| 8747616 | JIN HONG DA 17 | Sierra Leone | 6289368 | 3 |
| 9014119 ¹ | SAOSHYANT | Panama | 6255613 | 5 |
| 9014119 ¹ | SAOSHYANT | Panama | 6255627 | 5 |
| 9153745 | ELGA | Тодо | 5707873 | 6 |
| 9156541 | CHEM LUCK | Singapore | 6147830 | 1 |
| 9193599 ² | POLAR STAR | Panama | 6096873 | 2 |
| 9193599 ² | MORIOKA | Marshall Islands | 5528362 | 2 |
| 9255945 ² | DIAMOND | Tanzania | 6176451 | 3 |
| 9255945 ² | YOLANDA | Guyana | 6178580 | 3 |
| 9373802 ² | V MIRACLE | Panama | 6092204 | 7 |
| 9373802 ² | AMBA | Togo | 5519245 | 7 |

1. The ship changed company.

2. The ship changed name, flag and company.

ANNEX 3

ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE OF THE TOKYO MOU



EXPLANATORY NOTE ON THE BLACK – GREY – WHITE LISTS

The Port State Control Committee adopted the same method as used by the Paris MOU for assessment of performance of flags. Compared to the calculation method of previous year, this system has the advantage of providing an excess percentage that is significant and also reviewing the number of inspections and detentions over a 3-year period at the same time, based on binomial calculus.

The performance of each flag State is calculated using a standard formula for statistical calculations in which certain values have been fixed in accordance with the agreement of the Port State Control Committee. Two limits have been included in the new system, the 'black to grey' and the 'grey to white' limit, each with its own specific formula:

$$u_{black - to - grey} = N \cdot p + 0.5 + z \cdot \sqrt{N \cdot p \cdot (1 - p)}$$
$$u_{white - to - grey} = N \cdot p - 0.5 - z \cdot \sqrt{N \cdot p \cdot (1 - p)}$$

In the formula "N" is the number of inspections, "p" is the allowable detention limit (yardstick), set to 7% by the Tokyo MOU Port State Control Committee, and "z" is the significance requested (z=1.645 for a statistically acceptable certainty level of 95%). The result "u" is the allowed number of detentions for either the black or white list. The "u" results can be found in the table as the 'black to grey' or the 'grey to white' limit. A number of detentions above this 'black to grey' limit means significantly worse than average, where a number of detentions below the

'grey to white' limit means significantly better than average. When the number of detentions for a particular flag State is positioned between the two, the flag State will find itself on the grey list. The formula is applicable for sample sizes of 30 or more inspections over a 3-year period.

To sort results on the black or white list, simply alter the target and repeat the calculation. Flags which are still significantly above this second target are worse than the flags which are not. This process can be repeated, to create as many refinements as desired. (Of course the maximum detention rate remains 100%!) To make the flags' performance comparable, the excess factor (EF) is introduced. Each incremental or decremental step corresponds with one whole EF-point of difference. Thus the excess factor EF is an indication for the number of times the vardstick has to be altered and recalculated. Once the excess factor is determined for all flags, the flags can be ordered by EF. The excess factor can be found in the last column the black, grey or white list. The target (yardstick) has been set on 7% and the size of the increment and decrement on 3%. The Black - Grey - White lists have been calculated in accordance with the above principles.

The graphical representation of the system, below, is showing the direct relations between the number of inspected ships and the number of detentions. Both axis have a logarithmic character.



TOKYO MOU SECRETARIAT

The Secretariat (Tokyo MOU Secretariat) of the Memorandum of Understanding on Port State Control in the Asia-Pacific Region is located in Tokyo, Japan. The Secretariat may be approached for further information or inquiries on the operation of the Memorandum.

ADDRESS OF THE SECRETARIAT

The address of the Tokyo MOU Secretariat reads:

Tokyo MOU Secretariat Ascend Shimbashi 8F 6-19-19 Shimbashi Minato-ku, Tokyo Japan 105-0004 Tel: +81-3-3433-0621 Fax: +81-3-3433-0624 E-mail: secretariat@tokyo-mou.org

STAFF OF THE SECRETARIAT

The staff of the Secretariat consist of:

Kubota Hideo Secretary Ning Zheng Deputy Secretary Matsumoto Ayumi Technical Officer Akimoto Fumiko

Project Officer